ABŪ AL-ḤUSAYN AL-BAṢRĪ'S USE OF REASON IN *'ILM* AL-KALĀM AND USŪL AL-FIQH

Mohamed Ahmed Abdelrahman Eissa

This study examines Abū al-Ḥusayn al-Baṣrī's use of reason in "ilm al-kalām and uṣūl al-fiqh. Very few details are known about the life of Abū al-Ḥusayn Muḥammad b. "Alī b. al-Ṭayyib al-Baṣrī (d. 436/1044). This is clear in the extremely brief entries about him in the medieval biographies of Muslim scholars and intellectuals: in most cases his entry does not exceed a few lines. However, we know that Abū al-Ḥusayn was an influential Ḥanafī-Mu"tazilī jurist

^{*} I would like to thank Dr. Andrew Marsham, Dr. Christian Lange, and the anonymous reviewers for their comments on earlier versions of this article.

¹ For example, see Abū 'Abd Allah Muhammad b. Ahmad al-Dhahabī (d. 748/1348 or 753/1352-3), Siyar A'lām al-Nubalā', ed. Shu'ayb al-Arnā'ūt and Muḥammad al-cIrqisūsī (Beirut: Mu'assasat al-Risālah, 1413 A.H.), 17:587, in CD-ROM al-Jāmic al-Kabīr li-Kutub al-Turāth al-cArabī wa-l-Islāmī, 4th ed. (Amman: Markaz al-Turāth li-l-Barmajiyyāt, 2007-8). Hereafter I refer to this database as CD-ROM al-Jāmic al-Kabīr; Shams al-Dīn Ahmad b. Khallikān (d. 681/1282), Wafayāt al-A'yān wa-Anbā' Abnā' al-Zamān, 7vols., ed. Ihsān ^eAbbās (Lebanon: Dār al-Thaqāfah, n.d.), in CD-ROM al-Jāmi^e al-Kabīr; Shams al-Dīn Muhammad b. Ahmad al-Dhahabī, Mizān al-Itidāl fī Nagd al-Rijāl, 8 vols., ed. 'Alī 'Awad and 'Ādil 'Abd al-Mawjūd (Beirut: Dār al-Kutub al-'Ilmiyyah, 1995), 6:266, in CD-ROM al-Jāmic al-Kabīr; Abd al-Qādir b. Abī al-Wafā' al-Qurashī (d. 775/1374), al-Jawāhir al-Mudiyyah fī Tabaqāt al-Hanafiyya, 2 vols. (Karachi: Mir Muhammad Kutub Khānah, n.d.), 2:93-4, in CD-ROM al-Jāmic al-Kabīr; Abū al-Sacd al-Muhsin b. Karāmah al-Jushamī (d. 484/1101), Sharh al-'Uyūn, in Fu'ād Sayyid, ed. Fadl al-I'tizāl wa-Tabaqāt al-Mu^ctazilah (Tunis: al-Dar al-Tunisiyyah lf-l-Nashr, 1974), 387; ^cAbd al-Jabbār b. Aḥmad (d. 415/1025)/ Aḥmad b. Yaḥwā b. al-Murtaḍā (d. 840/1437), Firaq wa-Tabaqāt al-Muctazilah, ed. 'Alī Sāmī al-Nashshār and cIṣām al-Dīn °Alī (Alexandria: Dār al-Maṭbū°āt al-Jāmi°iyyah, 1972), 125-6. This last book is composed of 12 tabaqāt of Muctazilī scholars. As the editors note in their introduction, ^cAbd al-Jabbar is the author of the first ten tabagat, whereas the last two tabaqah were later added by Ibn al-Murtadā. Abū al-Husayn is mentioned in the twelfth tabagah which was added by Ibn al-Murtada.

and theologian.² He was a member of the Bahshamiyyah branch school which was part of the Basran branch of the Mu^ctazilah before he formed his own Mu^ctazilī branch school that was named

² Modern scholars disagree whether Abū al-Ḥusayn is a Ḥanafī or a Shāfi°ī. M. Bernand, C. Brockelmann, and F. Sezgin believe that he is a Shāficī. See, Marie Bernand, L'accord Unanime de la Communauté comme Fondement des Statuts Légaux de l'Islam (Paris: J. Vrin, 1970), 136; Carl Brockelmann, Geschichte der Arabishcen Litteratur Esrter Supplementband (Leiden: E. J. Brill, 1937), 1B:669; Fuat Sezgin, Geschichte des Arabischen Schrifttums (Leiden: E. J. Brill, 1975), 1:627. Also see, Mustafā b. 'Abd Allah al-Qustantīnī (Ḥajjī Khalīfa), Kashf al-Zunūn 'an Asāmī al-Kutub wa-l-Funūn (Beirut: Dār al-Kutub al-°Ilmiyyah, 1992), 2:1732, in CD ROM al-Jāmi° al-Kabīr. On the other hand, M. Hamidullah, W. Madelung, W. Hallaq, and C. Melchert hold that he is a Ḥanafī. See, Muhammad Hamidullah, introduction to Abū al-Husayn Muhammad b. ^cAlī b. al-Ţayyib al-Baṣrī, Kitāb al-Mu^ctamad fī Uṣūl al-Fiqh, ed. Muhammad Hamidullah and others (Damascus: al-Machad al-cIlmī al-Faransī li-l-Dirāsāt al-cArabiyyah, 1965), 24-5; Encyclopaedia of Islam, 2nd edition, s.v. "Abū l-Husayn al-Basri" Hereafter I refer to the 2nd edition of the Encyclopaedia of Islam as EI2 and the third edition as EI3; Wael Hallaq, "A Tenth-Eleventh Century Treatise on Juridical Dialectic," Muslim World 77 (1987), 1; Christopher Melchert, The Formation of the Sunni Schools of Law (Leiden: Brill, 1997), 85. Also, see Ibn Abī al-Wafā' al-Qurashī, al-Jawāhir al-Muḍiyyah, 2:93-4. There is compelling evidence proving that Abū al-Husayn is a Hanafī. For example, Abū al-Husayn defends the Hanafī definition and use of istihsān in reaching fight conclusions. This supports the conclusion that he is a Hanafi, especially since this legal method is usually criticized in Shāfi°ī uṣūl al-fiqh literature, whereas it is usually defended in Hanafi ones. For Abū al-Husayn's defense of istihsān, see his $al\text{-}Mu^ctamad$, 838-41. For Shāfi°ī criticisms of istihsan, see for example Abū Ishāq Ibrāhīm b. ° Alī b. Yūsuf al-Firūzabādī al-Shīrāzī (d. 476/1083), Sharh al-Luma', ed. 'Abd al-Majīd Turkī (Beirut: Dār al-Gharb al-Islāmī, 1988),969-74; Abū Muzaffar Mansūr b. Muḥammad b. 'Abd al-Jabbār al-Sam'ānī (d. 489/1096), Qawāṭiʻ al-Adillah fī Uṣūl al-Fiqh, 5 vols, ed. Muḥammad Ḥasan Ismā°īl al-Shāfi°ī (Beirut: Dār Iḥyā' al-Kutub al-°Ilmiyyah, 1997), 4:514-22. For Hanafī justifications of istihsān, see Abū Zayd 'Ubayd Allah b. 'Īsā al-Dabūsī (d. 430/138-9), Taqwīm al-Adillah fī Uṣūl al-Fiqh, ed. Khālid al-Mīs (Beirut: Dār al-Kutub al-eIlmiyyah, 2007), 404-6; Abū Bakr Ahmad b. Abī Sahl al-Sarakhsī (d. 490/1097), Uṣūl al-Sarakhsī, 2 vols. ed. Abū al-Wafā al-Afghānī (Beirut: Dār al-Kutub al-cIlmiyyah, 1414/1993), 2:199-208. For more arguments establishing Abū al-Husayn's Hanafī orientation, see Hamidullah's introduction to Abū al-Ḥusayn's al-Muctamad p. 24-5.