

Architecting Healthy Work in the Era of Occupational Health 5.0

Koh D, Gan WH, Tan A,

Department of Occupational and Environmental Medicine, Singapore General Hospital, Singapore.

INTRODUCTION

Occupational Health 1.0 to Occupational Health 5.0

For over a century, the mandate of Occupational Health (OH) physicians has focused on protecting workers from injury and disease as we navigate distinct evolutionary eras.¹ OH 1.0 began in the wake of the Industrial Revolution, with basic safety guarding against the hazards of machines. OH 2.0, then introduced hygiene and toxicology, focusing on aetiologies of occupational diseases such as asbestos and lead. OH 3.0 moved into ergonomics and early psychosocial health, recognising the mind-body connection. OH 4.0 was the digitalisation era, where we adopted computers to track records and monitor risks more efficiently.²

At the cusp of the era of OH 5.0, the world is experiencing a convergence of three unstoppable global megatrends affecting every aspect of workers' lives:

- The explosion of generative artificial intelligence (AI) with cognitive automation at a scale that redefines the essence of human value and effort.³
- Profound demographic shifts with an ageing global workforce that reflects the demand for sustainable employment in which workers must remain productive and neurologically healthy for far longer than previous generations of workers.
- The total digitisation of the environment: the Internet of Things (IoT) means that every tool and every interface can generate actionable data.²

These forces have blurred the traditional boundary between home and work. While traditional hazards persist, the modern "injury" is often invisible, occurring at the level of the synapse and the endocrine system. It is the cognitive overload of the "always-on" culture, the

psychological erosion caused by algorithmic management⁴ and the musculoskeletal strain of sedentary and hybrid work.⁵ However, much of the current legislation and clinical protocols continue to be deeply rooted in the industrial era, building physical and regulatory barriers between the vulnerable human body and the industrial machine. The focus has primarily been on heavy machinery, chemical toxins, physical trauma, and mitigating traditional hazards and reacting to workplace injuries.

At the cusp of OH 5.0, the traditional defensive, reactive posture in the protection of workers' health and wellbeing of the workers is no longer sufficient.

Occupational Health 5.0 and its Impact on the Role of OH Physicians

OH 5.0 is a socio-technical framework that acknowledges that the health of workers is not just a biological state, but the result of complex interactions between the individual, the technology, and their organisational ecosystem.⁶ Five converging simultaneous transformations underpin the emergence of OH 5.0.

First, employers are moving from tools to digital collaborators via AI.⁷ The broadening of digital access at the workplace means that AI is increasingly integrated into business operations, influencing productivity, decision-making, scheduling, monitoring, and worker evaluation. AI and machine learning systems offer substantial potential for improving risk assessment, identifying hidden risks, forecasting future health trends, and supporting preventive interventions at the workplace.⁸ More importantly, AI allows for the automation of routine tasks and administrative burden

of OH management, freeing OH physicians to focus on complex, high-value human interventions. It is important to highlight that it is not about AI replacing OH professionals; it is about tapping on AI to equip OH physicians to act decisively before harm occurs.

Second, there is an increase in the utility of genomics and digital biomarkers in addition to the traditional processes employed for risk assessment for workers and employers.⁹ Advances in genomics, predictive analytics, wearable technology, and digital biomarkers are enabling increasingly personalised approaches to workplace assessments and interventions. Objective, quantifiable physiological data allow the shift from subjective self-reporting, which is often influenced by recall bias, to objective, continuous monitoring. Thereafter, the clinical evidence has always been clear: when workers have access to their own biomarkers, they may support better health-related choices.¹⁰ When aggregated anonymously, this data allows employers to identify "hotspots" of occupational hazards before they lead to detrimental health outcomes.

Third, the nature of work after the COVID-19 pandemic has shifted workers from fixed physical locations to fluid, hybrid, and gig-based models.⁴ Hybrid work, remote work, platform employment, and gig-economy arrangements have profoundly altered traditional employer-employee relationships and challenged conventional occupational health frameworks.

Fourth, climate change is increasingly recognised as a major occupational health hazard on the health and productivity of workers, especially those working outdoors who are often exposed for longer periods and at greater intensities. Hazards include extreme weather events, excessive heat, ultraviolet radiation, air pollution and vector-borne communicable diseases.¹¹

Lastly, the OH profession itself is transforming with OH physicians moving from the back-office clinic to the heart of the boardroom. Businesses globally have

increasingly incorporated Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) considerations into strategic decision-making, and integrated social and environmental concerns into their operations.⁶ Occupational safety and health outcomes are now an integral component of ESG that allow businesses to demonstrate accountability, meet compliance requirements, and showcase stronger corporate governance,¹² which has positioned the OH physicians as strategic contributors to businesses.

The above five transformations are not independent; they are interconnected. Therefore, the practice of OH in tackling the challenges of OH 5.0 cannot remain merely as "inspectors" or "gatekeepers". The methodology must shift to a new paradigm of systems thinking that allows OH physicians to become the "Architects of Healthy Work". This allows the bridging of a legacy gap between the needs of the modern worker and the episodic, reactive medical models inherited from the past. In rethinking the paradigm, OH physicians need to move beyond just protection toward intentional creation. This is the transition from "safety first" to "health by design". It is about creating a symbiotic relationship where the business flourishes precisely because its workforce is flourishing, supported by a digital ecosystem that anticipates their needs before they manifest as pathology. It is a system where the health of the workforce is considered a primary key performance indicator of business success.

What Will Occupational Health 5.0 Look Like?

Taken together, these five transformations redefine what a healthy workplace will look like in OH 5.0. Three organising pillars capture this redefinition in OH 5.0: Human-Centricity, Sustainability, and Resilience.¹³ They highlight the move beyond the mere absence of disease in a worker to the active promotion of vitality, shifting the focus from preventing harm to actively cultivating the capacity for high performance and well-being over a worker's fifty-year career.

AI and modern wearables are the engine of OH 5.0. In this framework, the field of OH harnesses IoT and machine learning, real-time physiological data, and behavioural science to ensure that work is intrinsically restorative to the workers.¹⁴ The worker is not the only entity being monitored; the workplace is being continuously surveyed too as the workplace itself becomes a diagnostic and therapeutic tool.¹⁴ Through IoT, ambient intelligence with sensor monitoring can be deployed to track environmental and/or workplace indicators (e.g. air quality, noise levels, and even the ergonomic fit of a workstation) in real-time and implement timely interventions. For example, if carbon dioxide levels rise within the building, the sensors automatically increase ventilation of the workplace. If a worker has been sedentary for too long, their desk subtly adjusts to encourage movement.

This allows work itself (i.e. the tasks, the tempo, and the environment) to be tailored to the biological capacity of the individual worker. The workplace acts as an active participant in workers' longevity in the workforce: monitoring, supporting, and adapting the workload to the specific neurophysiological requirements of the worker. This creates a closed-loop system where work design is constantly refined based on the actual, real-time health status of the workers. The integration of the physical and digital environment ensures that the workplace is no longer a source of hazard, but rather a source of restorative health that enhances the worker's daily performance and increases overall labour productivity.¹⁵ This moves from episodic clinical interventions to a continuous, invisible, and life-enhancing support system that protects the worker's long-term vitality, with every data point contributing to a safer, healthier, and more resilient workforce.

The combined use of worker data and workplace data allows for digital twinning in OH 5.0: a virtual replica of a worker or a workplace.¹⁶ Unlike a computer simulation, a digital twin will stay connected to the physical worker or workplace to collect live data and update itself in real time to match the real-world scenarios. Employers can

run simulations in the digital twin to predict future health outcomes and allow failures in the virtual world rather than the real one. Clinical interventions such as a new ergonomic tool or a new shift pattern in a simulation can be tested before real-world implementations. This is the ultimate tool for the "Architect of Healthy Work", allowing for a zero-harm design by mitigating risks before they occur in physical reality.

The healthy work ecosystem in OH 5.0 will therefore be a resilient system as it is constantly learning and adapting. It does not wait for a crisis to occur; it evolves continuously to prevent it. This is what is meant by "Architecting Healthy Work" creating a holistic, self-sustaining environment where workforce health is not just a goal, but the core element of the system.

Key Operating Principles of OH Professionals in Occupational Health 5.0

However, realising the vision of workplaces built on Human-Centricity, Sustainability, and Resilience in OH 5.0 will require a new clinical methodology for OH physicians. In the OH 5.0 era, OH professionals as the "Architects of Healthy Work", are involved at the earliest design stages of the organisation. The clinical expertise of OH professionals will remain the foundation, but it is now augmented by data science and organisational psychology, ensuring that human health is built into every process.

A new set of operating principles will be required to translate the pillars of OH 5.0 into the day-to-day practice of the OH physicians.

- *Predictive.* OH 5.0 emphasises prediction rather than reaction. AI and Machine learning can be used to identify physiological and psychosocial risks before they manifest as illness. It shifts the OH professionals' role from reactive to anticipatory, as predictive occupational health enables targeted interventions and improved risk management.¹⁷
- *Personalised.* OH 5.0 moves away from one-size-fits-all guidelines toward interventions tailored to the

unique biology and life stage of a worker, making occupational health strategies more effective and fundamentally more human. Traditional occupational standards frequently rely upon population averages, and exposure limits were based on the average male worker. But clinically, no one is truly "average", as each worker possesses different genetic predispositions, ages, and physiological histories. Health risks and resilience vary considerably across workers. Precision occupational health seeks to tailor workplace interventions according to individual physiological, psychological, and occupational characteristics.⁹

- *Preventive.* OH 5.0 requires a focus on "upstream" systemic causes of ill-health rather than "downstream" symptoms. Work systems should be designed proactively to eliminate hazards and optimise worker well-being.¹⁸ This approach aligns with the hierarchy of controls and systems-based prevention models while extending these principles into emerging digital and psychosocial domains.
- *Participatory.* Research consistently demonstrates that employee participation enhances programme effectiveness, trust, engagement, and sustainability.^{19,20} Workers must remain active partners in workplace health programmes, so that they are the owners of their health data and active co-designers of their work environment.
- *Planetary.* In OH 5.0, worker health is inseparable from the health of the environment in which they work. Climate resilience, sustainability, and planetary health therefore become integral components of OH practice.¹¹ However, it is critical to also ensure that the transition to a "Net Zero" economy is a "Just Transition", one that does not sacrifice the health of the worker for the sake of environmental targets.²¹

These five principles provide the ethical and operational compass for the modern OH professional in the era of OH 5.0. They shift the clinical focus from the historical question of "what happened?" to the future-oriented

question of "what is likely to happen, and how can we optimise the environment for this specific worker?"

Challenges in Occupational Health 5.0

OH 5.0 is fundamentally about the design for human-AI collaboration, using AI to assume the "Dull, Dirty, and Dangerous" tasks, while OH physicians focus on empathy, ethics, and expert judgment. This collaboration requires sophisticated human factors engineering and understanding of how the workers and AI make sense of each other's cognitive states of each other that underpins the collaboration.²² If an AI is too efficient, it can out-pace the human worker, leading to physical and mental exhaustion. If it is too much of a black box, the worker loses trust. Fundamentally, AI will need to act as a supportive, transparent colleague at the workplace rather than a relentless, opaque supervisor, where the human and the machine together are healthier, safer, and more productive than either could ever be alone.

However, this symbiosis is only possible if there is a solid ethical foundation established. Central to the digital age is the ability to address the potential for surveillance, bias, and the total erosion of privacy,²³ or if not, the entire OH 5.0 framework will fail. There needs to be data sovereignty where the worker also owns their data, algorithmic transparency ensuring no hidden evaluation that can determine a worker's future, and non-discrimination by the AI based on age, gender, or ethnicity.²⁴ This ensures that the AI systems are transparent and explainable, so workers feel in control of their technology, not controlled by it.

Additionally, there has been a rise in mental health-related issues with integrating AI in occupational safety and health,²³ especially with the profound anxiety and loss of control felt by the workers caused by algorithmic management. It is crucial to ensure a psychologically safe digital workplace, establishing digital boundaries to prevent the constant work creep that leads to burnout. The goal is to create a digital environment that supports deep cognitive focus rather than fragmenting it.

CONCLUSION

In this emerging era, the central role of OH physicians will no longer simply be protecting workers from harm. Instead, we must become architects of healthy work systems that design work environments where workers can thrive. OH physicians will increasingly require expertise to inform workplace design, organisational strategy, AI implementation, climate adaptation, workforce planning, and resilience-building initiatives.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

All authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

REFERENCES

1. International Labour Organization. Safety and health at the heart of the future of work: building on 100 years of experience [Internet]. Geneva: ILO; 2019. Available at: <https://www.ilo.org/publications/safety-and-health-heart-future-work-building-100-years-experience-0>. Accessed September 20, 2026.
2. Koh D, Tan A. Applications and impact of Industry 4.0: technological innovations in occupational safety and health. *Saf Health Work* 2024;15:379-81. doi:10.1016/j.shaw.2024.09.001
3. Salari N, Beiromvand M, Hosseinian-Far A, Habibi J, Babajani F, Mohammadi M. Impacts of generative artificial intelligence on the future of labor market: a systematic review. *Comput Human Behav Rep* 2025;18:100652. doi:10.1016/j.chbr.2025.100652
4. Bondanini G, Giovanelli C, Mucci N, Giorgi G. The dual impact of digital connectivity: balancing productivity and well-being in the modern workplace. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2025;22:845. doi:10.3390/ijerph22060845
5. Roquelaure Y, Bodin J, Cros F, Descatha A, Fadel M. The digital economy and hybrid work call for a review of compensation criteria for musculoskeletal disorders. *Med Lav* 2024;115:e2024019. doi:10.23749/mdl.v115i3.16072
6. Farivar F, Klarin A, Kanani-Moghadam V. Industry 5.0: a socio-technical system perspective on human agency and institutional legitimacy. *J Manag Organ* 2026;32:1168-89. doi:10.1017/jmo.2026.10095
7. World Economic Forum. Technology convergence is leading the way for accelerated innovation in emerging technology areas [Internet]. 2025 [cited 2025 Jan 27]. Available at: <https://www.weforum.org/stories/2025/01/technology-convergence-is-leading-the-way-for-accelerated-innovation-in-emerging-technology-areas/> Accessed September 20, 2026.
8. La Torre G, Manai MV, Meucci S, et al. Artificial intelligence and occupational health and safety: a systematic review. *J Public Health (Berl)* 2026. doi:10.1007/s10389-026-02738-8
9. Bollati V, Ferrari L, Leso V, Iavicoli I. Personalised medicine: implication and perspectives in the field of occupational health. *Med Lav* 2020;111:425-44. doi:10.23749/mdl.v111i6.10947
10. Ashok P, Kulkarni P, Chhatwal K. Digital biomarkers transforming modern healthcare through continuous monitoring. *Recent Pat Eng* 2026;20. doi:10.2174/0118722121379711250618102932
11. International Labour Organization. Ensuring safety and health at work in a changing climate [Internet]. Available at: <https://www.ilo.org/publications/ensuring-safety-and-health-work-changing-climate>. Accessed September 20, 2026.
12. Nagata T, Lin RT. Comparison of disclosures on occupational health and safety in Japanese and Taiwanese listed companies. *Ind Health* 2025;63:524-33. doi:10.2486/indhealth.2024-0177
13. European Commission: Directorate-General for Research and Innovation, Breque M., De Nul L., Petridis A.. Industry 5.0 : towards a sustainable, human-centric and resilient European industry. Publications Office of the European Union; 2021. Available from: doi/10.2777/308407

14. Mendes D, Terradillos E, Navas HVG, Costa O, Matias J, Soares V. Wearable technologies in occupational safety and health: a systematic review and a human-centered implementation model. *Appl Sci* 2026;16:4715. doi:10.3390/app16104715
15. Kruzhilko O, Polukarov O, Vambol S, et al. Control of the workplace environment by physical factors and SMART monitoring. *Arch Mater Sci Eng* 2020;103:18-29. doi:10.5604/01.3001.0014.1770
16. Isaza Domínguez LG. Digital twins in Industry 5.0 – a systematic literature review. *Eur Public Soc Innov Rev* 2024;9:1-21. doi:10.31637/epsir-2024-641
17. Armenteros-Cosme P, Arias-González M, Alonso-Rollán S, Márquez-Sánchez S, Carrera A. Advancements in artificial intelligence and machine learning for occupational risk prevention: a systematic review on predictive risk modeling and prevention strategies. *Sensors (Basel)* 2025;25:5419. doi:10.3390/s25175419
18. European Agency for Safety and Health at Work. Artificial intelligence for worker management: implications for occupational safety and health [Internet]. Available at: <https://osha.europa.eu/en/publications/artificial-intelligence-worker-management-implications-occupational-safety-and-health>. Accessed September 20, 2026.
19. Wadsworth E, Walters D. Worker participation in the management of occupational safety and health, qualitative evidence from ESENER 2 – summary. Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union; 2016. doi:10.2802/69184
20. European Agency for Safety and Health at Work. Occupational safety and health management systems and workers' participation [Internet]. Available at: <https://oshwiki.osha.europa.eu/en/themes/occupational-safety-and-health-management-systems-and-workers-participation> Accessed September 20, 2026.
21. International Labour Organization. Resolution concerning a just transition towards environmentally sustainable economies and societies for all [Internet]. Available from: <https://www.ilo.org/resource/resolution-concerning-just-transition-towards-environmentally-sustainable>. Accessed September 20, 2026.
22. Tremblay S, de Hemptinne D, Teyssier-Roberge G, Gallant A, Marois A, Lafond D. Cognitive readiness for human-AI collaboration. *Hum Factors* 2026. doi:10.1177/00187208261474345
23. El-Helaly M. Artificial intelligence and occupational health and safety, benefits and drawbacks. *Med Lav* 2024;115:e2024014. doi:10.23749/mdl.v115i2.15835
24. Gupta P, Nguyen TN, Gonzalez C, Woolley AW. Fostering collective intelligence in human-AI collaboration: laying the groundwork for COHUMAIN. *Top Cogn Sci* 2025;17:189-216. doi:10.1111/tops.12679

Prof. Dr. David Koh Soo Quee

Saw Swee Hock School of Public Health
University of Occupational and Environmental Health,
Japan
Department of Occupational and Environmental
Medicine, Singapore General Hospital

Assoc. Prof. Gan Wee Hoe

Eastern General Hospital
Department of Occupational and Environmental
Medicine, Singapore General Hospital
Duke-NUS Medical School, Singapore

Dr. Alvin Tan

Department of Occupational and Environmental
Medicine, Singapore General Hospital
Saw Swee Hock School of Public Health