## **ORIGINAL ARTICLE**

## **Sources of Perceived Social Support and Associated Factors for Depression amongst Malay Primary School** Adolescents in Kelantan, Malaysia

to deal with adolescent concerns.

Nor Azila R<sup>a</sup>, Azriani AR<sup>a</sup>, Zahiruddin WM <sup>a</sup>, Norzila Z<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Community Medicine, School of Medical Sciences, Universiti Sains Malaysia.

#### **ABSTRACT**

INTRODUCTION: Adolescent depression is a substantial health concern nowadays, and perceived social support determines the outcome. Lack of research on depression amongst Malaysian younger group of adolescents, puts them at risk of being under diagnosed and under treated. Furthermore, the function of various social supports for depression in early adolescents is less explored. The primary objective of this study was to determine the level of support for adolescent depression from five different sources: i) parents, ii) teachers, iii) close friends, iv) classmates, and v) others in school. The secondary objective is to study the prevalence of depression amongst primary school adolescents and establish their association and the other factors that influence depression. MATERIALS AND METHODS: A cross-sectional study involving 576 adolescents between the ages of 10-11 year olds were conducted from August 2020 till September 2020 using the Malay Children Depression Inventory (M-CDI) and Malay Child and Adolescent Social Support Scale (M-CASSS). **RESULTS**: The respondents reported that teachers were the most supportive. Approximately 131 (22.7%) of them had depressive symptoms. Only parental support significantly reduced their risk of developing depression. Having a single parent household, being bullied, emotional abuse, and performing poorly in school increased the likelihood of developing depression. CONCLUSION: Findings from this study, demonstrated the necessity for early depression screening amongst primary school adolescents and the need for stakeholders to continue supporting parents in their efforts

#### Keywords

Primary school, adolescent, depressive, perceived social support

#### Corresponding Author

Dr. Azriani Ab Rahman Department of Community Medicine, School of Medical Sciences, Universiti Sains Malaysia, Health Campus 16150 Kubang Kerian, Kelantan, Malaysia Email: azriani@usm.my

Received: 14th April 2023; Accepted: 5th July 2023

Doi: https://doi.org/10.31436/imjm.v22i4

### INTRODUCTION

10.3% to 50.3%.6-10 Depression amongst Malaysian adults and other risk factors for depression.

Adolescent depression has increased from 24% to 37% and children have dramatically increased since COVID-19 globally in the past ten years. The COVID-19 pandemic first appeared. 11,12 In Kelantan, the prevalence of mental worse following lockdown, social health problems among adolescents has increased from withdrawal, school shutdown, and online learning which 4.6% in 2012 to 16.0% in 2017.13,14 Adolescence is the unintentionally upset teenage norms and increased stress in phase of life between childhood and adulthood, from ages their already stressful lives.<sup>2</sup> Adolescent social interactions of 10 -19 years old.<sup>15</sup> The onset of depression peaks in can both cause stress and provide social support system adolescence and younger teens have the highest chance of too.3 Therefore, it is crucial to evaluate how adolescents getting depression.16 The majority of adolescent depression perceive their social network in terms of depression. research in Malaysia focused on secondary school students Teachers, classmates, and parents are important sources of (older adolescents).<sup>7,9</sup> The purpose of this study was to support for adolescents and teenagers and have a big identify the support for adolescent from five different impact on their wellbeing. 4,5 In Malaysia, prevalence of sources and the prevalence of depression amongst adolescent depression reported in local studies ranges from adolescents in primary schools, as well as their relationship

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Department of Psychiatry, School of Medical Sciences, Universiti Sains Malaysia.

#### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

# Participants, Sample Size, Sampling Method and Study Design

This cross-sectional study, carried out during the emerging of COVID-19 pandemic, used multistage cluster sampling. The Malaysian government issued a nationwide movement control order (MCO) or lockdown from the mid-March 2020 to early June 2020, which led to temporary school closures. Data collection was from August 2020 till September 2020, more than two weeks after the MCO was abolished and primary school resumed. 10-11 -year-olds from six government primary schools in three districts of Kelantan were chosen at random. Kelantan, a state with a large Malay population is situated in northeast of Peninsular Malaysia.

From each school, students from four different classes were randomly selected. Only Malay students who were able to read, write, and understand Malay, as well as have parental or guardian consent were included in the study. The study excluded students who were absent from class on the day of data collection and those who were diagnosed as having mental health problems. A single proportion formula was used to obtain the greatest sample size for the prevalence of depression based on prior research.<sup>17</sup> The projected sample size required was 671 when considering the cluster sampling, 5% type 1 error, and a 20% dropout rate.

#### **Assessment Tool**

The Malay Children's Depression Inventory (M-CDI), a 27-item variant, is a validated tool to screen and assess child and adolescent depression. For every item, the students were asked to select one sentence that best sums up their past two weeks experiences. Negatively phrased items received a reversal score. Higher scores indicated a higher risk of depression. The total depression score was calculated by adding the item scores. Scores on the CDI range from 0 to 54 overall. It has a satisfactory reliability (Cronbach's alpha 0.83). The validated M-CDI is 90% sensitive, and 98% specific for the diagnosis of depression at a cut-off score of 18.18 Perceptions of social support from various sources were assessed using the Malay

version of the Child and Adolescent Social Support Scale (M-CASSS) adapted from the original English version. The original English version was tested among 1110 students in grades 3 through 12 from schools in Massachusetts, Wisconsin, Minnesota, Illinois, and Nebraska. The multidimensional measure of child and adolescent social support called the CASSS has many language translations and good psychometric qualities. Its 60-items are broken down into five sub-scales that categorized social support according to the people who provided it: i) parents, ii) teachers, iii) close friends, iv) classmates, and v) others at school. Based on the sources, it assigned a frequency and importance rating to each single expression of supporting behaviour.

Prior to this study, CASSS was translated into Malay and culturally adjusted in accordance with the suggested standards.<sup>20</sup> Two independent bilingual Malay and English experts performed the forward and backward translations. Professionals with extensive knowledge in the relevant domains evaluated, compared, and harmonised the M-CASSS. Then it was tested on 382 school going adolescents between the ages of 10-16 years-old. The results showed that the 60-items and five factors in M-CASSS had good psychometric qualities. Excellent content and face validity were demonstrated by S-CVI/ Ave values of 0.94 and 0.99, respectively.

Acceptable fit indices were produced by the Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA) (2/df=1.58, SRMR=0.05, RMSEA=0.04, CFI and TLI close to 0.90). The factor loadings ranged from 0.419 to 0.764, and Raykov's dependability was 0.961. Just frequency rating was used in M-CASSS for this study. The frequency ratings were given on a 6-point scale, with 1 denoting 'never', and 6 denoting 'always'. The sum of the frequency ratings for each domain results in a total frequency score for each of the five domains that ranges from 12 to 72. Better support is indicated by a higher score.

## STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

IBM statistical software version 26 was used to conduct the statistical analysis. To investigate the relationship between the measured covariates and depression as binary outcomes, simple logistic regression (SLR) and multiple logistic regressions (MLR) were utilised. Statistical significance was defined as a p-value < 0.05.

#### **RESULTS**

A total of 672 students were randomly chosen for the study, but only 591 students responded to the questionnaire (88% response rate). Of 591 respondents, dataset from 576 respondents were analysed as the remaining were incomplete.

## **Sociodemographic Characteristics**

Only Malay students were included in this study, with the mean (SD) age of 10.53 (0.50) years-old. Students from both urban and rural areas were evenly represented, with 55.4% being female. Most of them shared a home with their parents who were still married (84.4%) and lived together. For both the mothers (60.1%) and the fathers (63.2%) of the participants, secondary education was the predominant level. 5.7% of students hailed from homes with an average of four siblings and a household monthly income of less than RM500.

No student confessed to abusing drugs or alcohol, and only a small percentage of students acknowledged to having a chronic disease (5.0%) and being smokers (1.9%). Some of the respondents reported having experienced emotional abuse (11.5%), physical abuse (3.8%), and bullying (13.2%). Interestingly, 34.7% of the respondents reported being top 10 in their respective classes. Table 1 summarizes the sociodemographic characteristics of the respondents.

# Multi-Sources Perceived Social Support and The Prevalence of Depression

Using the Malay Child and Adolescent Social Support Scale (M-CASSS) assessment tool, respondents ranked teachers the highest perceived social support [52.02 (11.22)], followed by close friends, parents, and classmates. With a mean (SD) of 44.53(14.41), they believed that they received the least support from others at school. This is shown in Table 2.

Table 1: Sociodemographic characteristic of study population (n=576)

Age* Sex  Female Male  Race  Malay Indian Chinese  School locality  Rural Urban  Living status  Both mother and fa Mother only Father only Grandparent/s Other relatives Adopted family  Parent's marital status  Married and live tog Divorced Married but living st  Father's education level  Never had formal ed Primary education Secondary education	37 (6.4) 10 (1.7) 28 (4.9) 9 (1.6) 2 (0.3) gether 486 (84.4) 36 (6.3) eparately 28 (4.9)
Sex  Female Male  Race  Malay Indian Chinese  School locality  Rural Urban  Living status  Both mother and fa Mother only Father only Grandparent/s Other relatives Adopted family  Parent's marital status  Married and live tog Divorced Married but living state Father's education level Never had formal education of the state of th	319 (55.4) 257 (44.6) 576 (100) 0(0) 0(0) 281 (48.8) 295 (51.2)  wher 490 (85.1) 37 (6.4) 10 (1.7) 28 (4.9) 9 (1.6) 2 (0.3) gether 486 (84.4) 36 (6.3) eparately 28 (4.9)
Male Race  Malay Indian Chinese School locality  Rural Urban Living status  Both mother and fa Mother only Father only Grandparent/s Other relatives Adopted family Parent's marital status  Married and live tog Divorced Married but living st Father or mother di Father's education level Never had formal et Primary education	257 (44.6)  576 (100) 0(0) 0(0) 281 (48.8) 295 (51.2)  490 (85.1) 37 (6.4) 10 (1.7) 28 (4.9) 9 (1.6) 2 (0.3)  gether 486 (84.4) 36 (6.3) eparately 28 (4.9)
Race  Malay Indian Chinese  School locality  Rural Urban  Living status  Both mother and fa Mother only Father only Grandparent/s Other relatives Adopted family  Parent's marital status  Married and live tog Divorced Married but living st  Father's education level Never had formal ed	576 (100) 0(0) 0(0) 0(0) 281 (48.8) 295 (51.2) 490 (85.1) 37 (6.4) 10 (1.7) 28 (4.9) 9 (1.6) 2 (0.3) gether 486 (84.4) 36 (6.3) eparately 28 (4.9)
Malay Indian Chinese  School locality  Rural Urban  Living status  Both mother and fa Mother only Father only Grandparent/s Other relatives Adopted family  Parent's marital status  Married and live tog Divorced Married but living so Father's education level  Never had formal ed Primary education	0(0) 0(0) 281 (48.8) 295 (51.2) 490 (85.1) 37 (6.4) 10 (1.7) 28 (4.9) 9 (1.6) 2 (0.3) gether 486 (84.4) 36 (6.3) eparately 28 (4.9)
Indian Chinese  School locality  Rural Urban  Living status  Both mother and fa Mother only Father only Grandparent/s Other relatives Adopted family  Parent's marital status  Married and live tog Divorced Married but living so Father's education level  Never had formal ed Primary education	0(0) 0(0) 281 (48.8) 295 (51.2) 490 (85.1) 37 (6.4) 10 (1.7) 28 (4.9) 9 (1.6) 2 (0.3) gether 486 (84.4) 36 (6.3) eparately 28 (4.9)
School locality  Rural Urban  Living status  Both mother and fa Mother only Father only Grandparent/s Other relatives Adopted family  Parent's marital status  Married and live tog Divorced Married but living so Father's education level  Never had formal ed Primary education	0(0)  281 (48.8) 295 (51.2)  tther  490 (85.1) 37 (6.4) 10 (1.7) 28 (4.9) 9 (1.6) 2 (0.3)  gether  486 (84.4) 36 (6.3) eparately  28 (4.9)
School locality  Rural Urban  Living status  Both mother and fa Mother only Father only Grandparent/s Other relatives Adopted family  Parent's marital status  Married and live tog Divorced Married but living so Father's education level  Never had formal ed Primary education	281 (48.8) 295 (51.2) tther 490 (85.1) 37 (6.4) 10 (1.7) 28 (4.9) 9 (1.6) 2 (0.3) gether 486 (84.4) 36 (6.3) eparately 28 (4.9)
Rural Urban  Living status  Both mother and fa Mother only Father only Grandparent/s Other relatives Adopted family  Parent's marital status  Married and live tog Divorced Married but living so Father's education level  Never had formal ed Primary education	295 (51.2)  ather  490 (85.1)  37 (6.4)  10 (1.7)  28 (4.9)  9 (1.6)  2 (0.3)  gether  486 (84.4)  36 (6.3)  eparately  28 (4.9)
Living status  Both mother and fa Mother only Father only Grandparent/s Other relatives Adopted family  Parent's marital status  Married and live tog Divorced Married but living so Father or mother di Father's education level  Never had formal ed Primary education	295 (51.2)  ather  490 (85.1)  37 (6.4)  10 (1.7)  28 (4.9)  9 (1.6)  2 (0.3)  gether  486 (84.4)  36 (6.3)  eparately  28 (4.9)
Both mother and fa Mother only Father only Grandparent/s Other relatives Adopted family Parent's marital status  Married and live tog Divorced Married but living so Father or mother di Father's education level Never had formal ed Primary education	tther 490 (85.1) 37 (6.4) 10 (1.7) 28 (4.9) 9 (1.6) 2 (0.3) gether 486 (84.4) 36 (6.3) eparately 28 (4.9)
Mother only Father only Grandparent/s Other relatives Adopted family  Parent's marital status  Married and live tog Divorced Married but living so Father or mother di  Father's education level  Never had formal ed Primary education	37 (6.4) 10 (1.7) 28 (4.9) 9 (1.6) 2 (0.3) gether 486 (84.4) 36 (6.3) eparately 28 (4.9)
Father only Grandparent/s Other relatives Adopted family Parent's marital status  Married and live tog Divorced Married but living so Father or mother di Father's education level  Never had formal ed Primary education	10 (1.7) 28 (4.9) 9 (1.6) 2 (0.3) gether 486 (84.4) 36 (6.3) eparately 28 (4.9)
Grandparent/s Other relatives Adopted family  Parent's marital status  Married and live tog Divorced Married but living so  Father's education level  Never had formal ed Primary education	28 (4.9) 9 (1.6) 2 (0.3) gether 486 (84.4) 36 (6.3) eparately 28 (4.9)
Other relatives Adopted family  Parent's marital status  Married and live tog Divorced Married but living so  Father's education level  Never had formal ed Primary education	9 (1.6) 2 (0.3) gether 486 (84.4) 36 (6.3) eparately 28 (4.9)
Parent's marital status  Married and live tog Divorced Married but living so Father's education level  Never had formal ed Primary education	2 (0.3)  gether 486 (84.4) 36 (6.3)  eparately 28 (4.9)
Parent's marital status  Married and live tog Divorced Married but living so Father or mother di Father's education level  Never had formal ed Primary education	gether 486 (84.4) 36 (6.3) eparately 28 (4.9)
Divorced Married but living so Father or mother di Father's education level Never had formal ed Primary education	36 (6.3) eparately 28 (4.9)
Married but living se Father or mother di Father's education level Never had formal ed Primary education	eparately 28 (4.9)
Father's education level  Never had formal ed  Primary education	
Father's education level  Never had formal education	ied 26 (4.5)
Never had formal e Primary education	()
Primary education	1
·	
Secondary education	48 (8.3)
Tertiary education Mother's education level	160 (27.8)
Never had formal e	ducation 5 (0.9)
Primary education	
Secondary education	44 (7.6) n 346 (60.1)
Tertiary education	181 (31.4)
Household income	101 (31.4)
<rm500< td=""><td>33 (5.7)</td></rm500<>	33 (5.7)
RM500-1000	209 (36.3)
RM1001-2000	125 (21.7)
RM>2000	209 (36.3)
Number of siblings Chronic medical illness	4.32 (2.05)*
No	547 (95.0)
Yes	29 (5.0)
Smoking	<u> </u>
No	565 (98.1)
Yes	11 (1.9)
Alcohol drinking	
No Voc	576 (100) 0 (0)
Yes Substance abuse	0 (0)
No	576 (100)
Yes	0 (0)
Being bullied	`,
No	510 (88.5)
Yes	66 (11.5)
Physical abuse	
Physical abuse No	554 (96.2)
Yes	22 (3.8)
Emotional abuse	-2 (0.0)
No	500 (86.8)
Yes	76 (13.2)
Academic performance	
Top 10 ranking	
Middle ranking Last 10 ranking	200 (34.7) 276 (47.9)
Yes Academic performance Top 10 ranking Middle ranking	

<sup>\*</sup>Mean (SD)

Table 2: Mean score of perceived social support from different sources among study population (n=576)

Source of perceived social support	Mean (SD)	95% CI
Parents	51.36 (10.97)	50.47,52.26
Teachers	52.02 (11.22)	51.11,52.94
Classmates	47.59 (12.45)	46.57,48.61
Close friends	51.57 (12.38)	50.55,52.58
Other people in school	44.53 (14.41)	43.35,4571

Using the Malay Children Depression Inventory (M-CDI) screening tool to assess depression, 131 (22.7%) respondents were found to be depressed (CDI score >18).

### Sources of Social Support and Other Factors Associated with Depression among Adolescents in Primary Schools

All sources of social support (parents, teachers, classmates, close friends, and other students) were significantly associated with depression in simple binary logistic regression (p-value<0.05) (Table 3). Only parental support was a significant predictor for perceived social support when other variables were adjusted, according to the results of multiple binary logistic regression (Table 4). The incidence of depression could be reduced by 4% in students who felt their parents were supportive (Adj. OR 0.96, 95%CI; 0.94,0.98).

No significant association was seen between students experiencing depression and support from teachers, classmates, close friends, and others in school when other variables were controlled. Academic performance, being bullied, emotional abuse, and parent's marital status were additional significant factors in the multivariable analysis. The likelihood that a student would experience depression increased threefold if their parents were divorced (Adj. OR 2.92, 95% CI: 1.61, 5.30). Adolescents were more likely to experience depression if they reported being bullied (Adj. OR 1.92, 95% CI; 1.06,3.47), emotionally abused (Adj. OR 2.25, 95% CI; 1.27,3.99), or performed poorly in school (Adj. OR 2.08, 95% CI; 1.27,3.41).

**Table 3:** Sources of social support and other factors associated with depression amongst adolescents in primary schools by simple binary logistic regression (n=576)

Variables	Crude Odds Ratio	(95% CI)	p-value
Parents social support	0.95	(0.93,0.96)	< 0.001
Teachers social support	0.96	(0.94, 0.98)	< 0.001
Classmates social support	0.96	(0.96, 0.95)	< 0.001
Close friends social support	0.96	(0.96,0.99)	0.001
Other people in school social	0.99	(0.97,0.99)	0.034
Age (years)	0.84	(0.57,1.24)	0.385
Sex			
Female	1		
Male	1.52	(1.03, 2.25)	0.036
School locality			
Rural	1		
Urban	1.32	(0.89, 1.95)	0.170
Living status			
With own parents	1		
Other than own parents	2.27	(1.15,4.46)	0.018
Parent's marital status			
Still married	1		
Single parent	3.55	(2.06, 6.12)	< 0.001
Father's education level			
Secondary and below	1		
Tertiary education	0.58	(0.36, 0.92)	0.022
Mother's education level			
Secondary and below	1		
Tertiary education	0.68	(0.44,1.05)	0.082
Household income			
RM1000 and below	1		
Above RM1000	0.55	(0.37, 0.81)	0.003
Number of siblings			
Less than 5	1		
5 and more	1.05	(0.71,1.56)	0.816
Chronic medical illness			
No	1		
Yes	1.85	(0.84,4.09)	0.127
Smoking			
No	1		
Yes	1.28	(0.34,4.90)	0.718
Being bullied			
No	1		
Yes	2.7	(1.58,4.62)	< 0.001
Physical abuse			
No	1		
Yes	4.39	(1.85,10.40)	0.001
Emotional abuse			
No	1		
Yes	2.58	(1.55,4.29)	< 0.001
Academic performance			
Good	1		
Poor	2.32	(1.46,3.67)	< 0.001

**Table 4:** Sources of social support and other factors associated with depression amongst adolescents in primary schools analysed by multiple binary logistic regression (n=576)

Variables		Adjusted OR	(95% CI)	p-value
Parents social supp	ort	0.96	0.94,0.98	< 0.001
Parent's marital status				
	Still married	1		
	Single parent	2.92	1.61,5.30	< 0.001
Being bullied				
	No	1		
	Yes	1.92	1.06,3.47	0.032
Emotional abuse				
	No	1		
	Yes	2.25	1.27,3.99	0.006
Academic performance				
	Good	1		
	Poor	2.08	1.27,3.41	0.004

### **DISCUSSIONS**

## Sources of Perceived Social Support among Primary School Adolescents

In this study, primary school adolescents appeared to regard their teachers as being more supportive than their parents or close friends, which contradicts previous studies.<sup>21,22</sup> The majority of these adolescents lived with both parents. It is in line with earlier research that found Chinese students valued their teachers' social support more than that of their parents'.<sup>23,24</sup> Similar to this, older Singaporean adolescents said in a recent study that their teachers supported them more than their parents or friends.<sup>25</sup> Our results thus confirmed past research suggesting that teenagers view of social support are influenced by cultural and social values.<sup>24</sup>

They emphasized how teachers are valued more highly in Asian communities than in Western ones. The 2018 Global Teacher Status Index revealed that of the 35 countries polled, Malaysian teachers were ranked second only to Chinese teachers in terms of regard. Since our data collection took place during the COVID-19 epidemic, our findings may possibly be explained by the fact that teachers were more concerned about their students' welfare and were better able to support them when they returned to class following the lockdown. According to qualitative research conducted amongst primary and secondary school teachers in England, teachers who were concerned about how the pandemic

affected their students, brought gifts and words of encouragement to lift their students' spirits.<sup>26</sup> In contrast to our findings, a different study discovered that during the pandemic, teenagers valued family support more than school or friend.<sup>27</sup> They talked about how some teenagers might be able to spend more time with their families during lockdown. However, another study found that teenagers highly valued their best friends' support, regardless of how much time they spend pre-COVID 19.<sup>28</sup> These variations could result from differences in the methods used to measure social support, which must be considered when comparing.

# Prevalence of Depression amongst Primary School Adolescents

The prevalence of depression amongst primary school adolescents in our study was 22.7%, similar to earlier research in western China (23.9%).<sup>29</sup> It is lower than studies in the United States (30%) and Turkey (34.5%) yet being greater than those in Cyprus (10.3%) and Brazil (20.3%). Although the CDI scale was the same in all these research, various cut-off points of 19 and 20 were used. <sup>30-32</sup> In contrast, a local study which used the CES-D instrument amongst 10-12 year-olds in a primary school in Kuala Lumpur, found a substantially lower prevalence of depression of 10.5%.<sup>10</sup> With 8.3% of adolescents aged 10-15 years-old reporting emotional issues, our findings were higher than the NHMS 2019 national survey.<sup>33</sup>

The disparities however, might be explained by the various instruments used for the survey, sample size, age of respondents, and other demographic factors. Our results appeared to be comparable internationally when examining the prevalence of depression amongst secondary school teenagers using the same tool. For instance, the prevalence was 25% in India and 21% in Uganda.34,35 On the other hand, a local survey in Selangor discovered that up to 50.3% of students were depressed.9 The author justified the findings were due to small sample size, which only included "Form Four" pupils (16 year olds) from urban areas. On the other hand, research in Sarawak found that among older teenagers, the prevalence of depression was 26.2%.7 Comparisons are difficult due to the paucity of studies on depression amongst Malaysian primary school adolescents following the COVID-19

pandemic. A local study found a higher prevalence of to turn to first. Nonetheless, several other research have depression, with 31.4% of children aged 8-13 years old demonstrated how supportive relationships with teachers, being depressed, using a different tool to detect depression classmates, close friends, and the school can reduce in their online survey.<sup>12</sup> The prevalence of depression adolescent depression risk.<sup>41,42</sup> Similarly, it was noted that amongst primary school adolescents in Kelantan is rather early adolescent with more peer connections appeared to high, almost equivalent to that of secondary school have higher mental and overall well-being than those with students, notwithstanding the wide variation of prevalence fewer during the UK's first COVID-19 lockdown.<sup>27</sup> When in these surveys.

#### Sources of Social Support and Other Factors Associated with Depression among Adolescents in **Primary Schools**

We found that supportive parents significantly lower the chance of depression amongst study participants. Our Losing a parent, or even being separated from family, is findings are supported by earlier studies.<sup>23,36,37</sup> Higher viewed as a traumatic life experience that may impair teenage coping abilities are associated with parents who are teenagers' emotional stability and social development, supportive because parents may encourage their children's leaving them more susceptible to depression.8 Research self-expression, which reduces their risk of depression.<sup>38,39</sup> has shown that single-headed households struggle Since many adolescents were probably with their families financially, which has an impact on raising children. 43,44 during the lockdown, parental effects on adolescents' Kelantan, being the poorest state in Malaysia and having mental health is not surprising. Lockdown strengthened the third-highest divorce rate in 2019 made it worrying. 45 their ties with their parents. Remarkably, we discovered In our study, 42% of participants were from low-income no evidence of a significant relationship between families earning less than RM1,000 monthly, which is depression and assistance from teachers, classmates, close lower than the monthly RM1,200 current minimum wage friends, or the school, despite the respondents' high in Malaysia. Although household wealth was not a major appreciation for this support in the study.

Our findings diverge slightly from those of research the COVID-19 pandemic.<sup>46</sup> among Australian teenagers.<sup>37</sup> Yet, when looked at concurrently, depression was predicted by both teacher Furthermore, this research supports earlier results that and family support. One of the reasons why teachers' adolescent depression is significantly influenced by recent support was less of an influence in Malaysia is the bullying, country's educational system, which places a strong achievement. 47-49 In our study, 11.4% respondents emphasis on raising students' academic achievement and reported recent verbal or physical bullying from peers other aspects of school performance.<sup>40</sup> The primary duty although there was less interaction and school bullying of a teacher is to instruct, and not to offer psychological during the COVID-19 pandemic. Yet, as adolescents support. Hence there may be little impact on the students' spend more time interacting and socializing online, they mental health and self-esteem. Another explanation could were more susceptible to cyberbullying which is a be that children are interacting less with teachers and substantial classmates due to the national lockdown effect. After the consequences.<sup>50</sup> The pandemic led to increased levels lockdown, students reported that they felt uncomfortable of parenting stress and loneliness, hence intensify mingling since they had lost contact with their peers and interfamilial conflict, raising mother's psychological teachers.<sup>2</sup> Hence, when teenagers seek to express their agitation, and risk of child abuse. During the lockdown, distress and worries, teachers might not be the best place many students found it difficult to adapt to online learning

we looked at additional factors affecting depression in teenagers, we found that having a single parent, whether they were divorced or passed away, increased the likelihood of developing depressive symptoms by three folds. Our results are in line with earlier studies.<sup>7,43</sup>

factor in this study, there were more teenagers with depression in lower-income families, especially during

emotional abuse, and poor predictor of serious mental and maintain their academic obligations after school resumed. As a result, those who perform poorly, could experience worsen emotional suffering.<sup>2</sup>

## **CONCLUSION**

In conclusion, this study found that depression is rather common amongst adolescents in primary schools. Though the assistance of the teacher is highly valued by the students, only parental social support significantly reduced the risk of depression. Those who had single parents, were bullied, suffered emotional abuse, or performed poorly in school, ran a much higher risk of depression. These findings made it clear that adolescents in primary school who are at risk for depression should be screened early, and stakeholders should keep supporting parents in dealing with adolescents.

Parents and teachers are recommended to regularly enquire and address adolescent concerns. These findings also contribute to the body of local evidence that may be used to administer early adolescent mental healthcare more effectively. Future studies should include children from private schools, other racial groups, and other regions, consider other crucial factors including the impact of social media, investigate the kind of support and how it relates to depression, and use a variety of data sources, including parents and teachers. A research extension utilizing diagnostic measures is also suggested because the diagnosis of depression was not established in this study.

#### **LIMITATIONS**

This study poses a few limitations. Only Malays from public schools participated in this study, limiting the generalizability of the findings to those from other races and private institutions. The cross-sectional study design precludes drawing conclusions about the causal relationship. This study is prone to reporting bias like social-desirability bias since it uses a single source of self-reported data. To lessen this bias, the study used anonymous self-administered surveys. Other important factor such as adverse childhood experiences (ACE) should be included as well in the future study.

#### **FUNDING**

This research was funded by 'Tabung Insentif Pembangunan Pengajian Siswazah (TIPPS)' 2020 Grant (USM/PPSP/PG/1.0/22(20) to facilitate this study.

## **INSTITUTIONAL REVIEW BOARD STATEMENT**

Ethical approval was obtained from Malaysian National Medical Research Registry (ID number: NMRR-19-3291-51725), Research Ethics Committee (Human), Universiti Sains Malaysia (USM/JEPeM/19110835) and the Malaysian Ministry of Education.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

We gratefully acknowledge the cooperation and assistance provided by the Ministry of Education, Kelantan State Education Department, schools, teachers, and students who were involved in this study.

#### **CONFLICTS OF INTEREST**

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## **REFERENCES**

- Shorey S, Ng ED, Wong CH. Global prevalence of depression and elevated depressive symptoms among adolescents: A systematic review and meta-analysis. British Journal of Clinical Psychology 2022;61:287-305.
- 2. Ashworth E, Hunt A, Chopra J, et al. Adolescents' lockdown-induced coping experiences (ALICE) study: A qualitative exploration of early adolescents' experiences of lockdown and reintegration. The Journal of Early Adolescence 2022;42:514-41.
- 3. Camara M, Bacigalupe G, Padilla P. The role of social support in adolescents: are you helping me or stressing me out? International Journal of Adolescence and Youth 2017;22:123-36.
- Chu PS, Saucier DA, Hafner E. Meta-analysis of the relationships between social support and well-being in children and adolescents. Journal Of Social And Clinical Psychology 2010;29:624-45.
- Heng MA, Fulmer GW, Blau I, Pereira A. Youth purpose, meaning in life, social support and life satisfaction among adolescents in Singapore and Israel. Journal of Educational Change 2020;21:299-322.

- Adlina S, Suthahar A, Ramli M, et al. Pilot study on depression among secondary school students in Selangor. The Medical Journal of Malaysia 2007;62:218-
- Ang AL, Wahab S, Abd Rahman FN, Hazmi H, Md Yusoff R. Depressive symptoms in adolescents in Kuching, Malaysia: Prevalence and associated factors. Pediatrics International 2019;61:404-10.
- 8. Barra SM, Baharudin R, Zulkefly NS, Yahya AN, Madon Z. Understanding sex differences in depressive symptomatology among Malaysian adolescents. Recoletos Multidisciplinary Research Journal 2019;7:63-79.
- Zubaidah S, MN A. Poor peer support as a predictive factor towards depression among adolescent. Medicine & Health 2015;10:48-57.
- Teoh HJ. A survey of urban child and adolescent mental health problems in an urban Malaysian population. Malaysian Journal of Psychiatry 2010;19:15-27.
- 11. Leong Bin Abdullah MF, Ahmad Yusof H, Mohd Shariff N, et al. Depression and anxiety in the Malaysian urban population and their association with demographic characteristics, quality of life, and the emergence of the COVID-19 pandemic. Current Psychology 2021;1:1-2.
- Zainudeen ZT, Abd Hamid IJ, Azizuddin MN, et al. Psychosocial impact of COVID-19 pandemic on Malaysian families: a cross-sectional study. BMJ open [online] 2021;11:e050523. Available at www.bmjopen.bmj.com. Accessed February 14, 2023.
- Institute for Public Health, Malaysia. National Health and morbidity survey (NHMS 2012): Global School-Based Student Health Survey 2012. [online]. Available at www.iku.moh.gov.my. Accessed June 5, 2023.
   Pennsylvania ProQuest Dissertations Publishing; 2014. 3665886.
   Chang CW, Yuan R, Chen JK. Social support and depression among Chinese adolescents: The
- Institute for Public Health, Malaysia. National Health and morbidity survey (NHMS 2017): Adolescent Mental Health (DASS-21). [online]. Available at www.iku.moh.gov.my. Accessed June 5, 2023.
- World Health Organization. Adolescent Health. [online]. Available at www.who.int. Accessed June 5, 2023.
- Avenevoli S, Swendsen J, He JP, Burstein M, Merikangas KR. Major depression in the national

- comorbidity survey–adolescent supplement: Prevalence, correlates, and treatment. Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry 2015;54:37-44.
- 17. Chan SM. Depressive mood in Chinese early adolescents: Relations with shyness, self-esteem and perceived social support. Asia-Pacific Psychiatry 2012;4:233-40.
- Rosliwati MY, Rohayah H, Jamil BY, Zaharah S. Validation of the Malay version of Children Depression Inventory (CDI) among children and adolescents attending outpatient clinics in Kota Bharu, Kelantan. Malaysian Journal of Psychiatry 2008;17:28-35.
- Malecki CK, Demaray MK. Measuring perceived social support: Development of the child and adolescent social support scale (CASSS). Psychology In The Schools 2002;39:1-8.
- 20. Wild D, Grove A, Martin M, et al. Principles of good practice for the translation and cultural adaptation process for patient-reported outcomes (PRO) measures: report of the ISPOR task force for translation and cultural adaptation. Value In Health 2005;8:94-104.
- 21. Bokhorst CL, Sumter SR, Westenberg PM. Social support from parents, friends, classmates, and teachers in children and adolescents aged 9 to 18 years: Who is perceived as most supportive?. Social Development 2010;19:417-26.
- Bravener HL. Examination of the relationship between perceived social support and students' academic outcomes. Indiana University of Pennsylvania ProQuest Dissertations Publishing; 2014. 3665886.
- 23. Chang CW, Yuan R, Chen JK. Social support and depression among Chinese adolescents: The mediating roles of self-esteem and self-efficacy.

  Children and Youth Services Review 2018;88:128-34.
- 24. Jia Y, Way N, Ling G, et al. The influence of student perceptions of school climate on socioemotional and academic adjustment: A comparison of Chinese and American adolescents. Child Development 2009;80:1514-30.
- 25. Heng MA, Fulmer GW, Blau I, Pereira A. Youth purpose, meaning in life, social support and life

- satisfaction among adolescents in Singapore and Israel. Journal of Educational Change 2020;21:299-322.
- 26. Kim LE, Leary R, Asbury K. Teachers' narratives during COVID-19 partial school reopening: An exploratory study. Educational Research 2021;63:244 -60.
- 27. Ashworth E, Putwain DW, McLoughlin S, et al. Ordinary magic in extraordinary circumstances: Predictors of positive mental health outcomes for early adolescents during the COVID-19 pandemic. In: Research Square [online]. Available at: https:// assets.researchsquare.com. Accessed April 5, 2023.
- 28. Bernasco EL, Nelemans SA, Van der Graaff J, Branje S. Friend support and internalizing symptoms in early adolescence during COVID-19. Journal of Research on Adolescence 2021;31:692-702.
- 29. Wang L, Feng Z, Yang G, et al. Depressive symptoms among children and adolescents in Western China: an epidemiological survey of prevalence and correlates. Psychiatry Research 2016;246:267-74.
- 30. Bahls SC. Epidemiology of depressive symptoms in adolescents of a public school in Curitiba, Brazil. Brazilian Journal of Psychiatry 2002;24:63-7.
- 31. Bilsky SA, Cole DA, Dukewich TL, et al. Does supportive parenting mitigate the longitudinal effects of peer victimization on depressive thoughts and symptoms in children?. Journal Of Abnormal Psychology 2013;122:406.
- 32. Sokratis S, Christos Z, Despo P, Maria K. Prevalence of depressive symptoms among schoolchildren in Cyprus: a cross-sectional descriptive correlational study. Child And Adolescent Psychiatry And Mental Health 2017;11:1-1.
- 33. Institute for Public Health, Malaysia. National Health and morbidity survey (NHMS 2019): Healthcare demand. [online]. Available at www.ihsr.moh.gov.my. Accessed September 22, 2021.
- 34. Singhal M, Manjula M, Sagar KJ. Subclinical depression in Urban Indian adolescents: Prevalence, felt needs, and correlates. Indian Journal of Psychiatry 45. Department of Statistic Malaysia. Marriage and 2016;58:394.
- 35. Nalugya-Sserunjogi J, Rukundo GZ, Ovuga E, et al. Prevalence and factors associated with depression

- symptoms among school-going adolescents in Central Uganda. Child And Adolescent Psychiatry And Mental Health 2016;10:1-8.
- 36. Amit N, Ibrahim N. The predicting roles of reasons for living and social support on depression, anxiety and stress among young people in Malaysia. The Medical Journal of Malaysia 2017;72:291-7.
- 37. Pössel P, Burton SM, Cauley B, et al. Associations between social support from family, friends, and teachers and depressive symptoms in adolescents. Journal of Youth And Adolescence 2018;47:398-412.
- 38. Siu OL, Lo BC, Ng TK, Wang H. Social support and student outcomes: The mediating roles of psychological capital, study engagement, and problem -focused coping. Current Psychology 2021;19:1-10.
- 39. Lyell KM, Coyle S, Malecki CK, Santuzzi AM. Parent and peer social support compensation and internalizing problems in adolescence. Journal of School Psychology 2020;83:25-49.
- 40. Jelas ZM, Azman N, Zulnaidi H, Ahmad NA. Learning support and academic achievement among Malaysian adolescents: The mediating role of student engagement. Learning Environments Research 2016;19:221-40.
- 41. Bernasco EL, Nelemans SA, Van der Graaff J, Branje S. Friend support and internalizing symptoms in early adolescence during COVID-19. Journal of Research on Adolescence 2021;31:692-702.
- 42. Joyce HD, Early TJ. The impact of school connectedness and teacher support on depressive symptoms in adolescents: A multilevel analysis. Children and Youth Services Review 2014;39:101-7.
- 43. Daniel E. Single mothers and wellbeing of adolescents and children in Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. International Journal of Social and Development Concerns Special Issue Social Work During COVID-19 2021;1478: 116-127.
- 44. Yilmaz F, Gungor Ozcan D, Gokoglu AG, Turkyilmaz D. The effect of poverty on depression among Turkish children. Child and Adolescent Social Work Journal 2021;38:679-89.
- divorce statistic Malaysia,2020.[online]. Available at www.dosm.gov.my. Accessed October 30, 2022.

- Newlove-Delgado T, McManus S, Sadler K, et al.
   Child mental health in England before and during the COVID-19 lockdown. The Lancet Psychiatry 2021;8:353-4.
- 47. Abd Razak MA, Ahmad NA, Abd Aziz FA, et al. Being bullied is associated with depression among Malaysian adolescents: findings from a cross-sectional study in Malaysia. Asia Pacific Journal of Public Health 2019;31(8 suppl):30S-7S.
- 48. Singh MM, Gupta M, Grover S. Prevalence & factors associated with depression among school going adolescents in Chandigarh, north India. Indian Journal Of Medical Research 2017;146:205.
- 49. Gao Y, Hu D, Peng E, et al. Depressive symptoms and the link with academic performance among rural Taiwanese children. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health 2020;17:2778.
- 50. Mohd Fadhli SA, Liew Suet Yan J, Ab Halim AS, Ab Razak A, Ab Rahman A. Finding the link between cyberbullying and suicidal behaviour among adolescents in Peninsular Malaysia. Healthcare 2022;10:856.