

Optimizing Transducer System Changes in the Intensive Care Settings: A Call for Standardization

Thandar Soe @ Sumaiyah Jamaludin¹, Mohd. Said Nurumal^{2*} & Saw Than Htaik Oo³

¹Department of Medical Surgical Nursing, Kulliyyah of Nursing, International Islamic University Malaysia, Pahang, Malaysia

²Department of Critical Care Nursing, Kulliyyah of Nursing, International Islamic University Malaysia, Pahang, Malaysia

³Intensive Care Unit, Holmesglen Private Hospital, Melbourne, Australia

*E-mail: mohdsaid@iium.edu.my

In any intensive care setting, accurate and dependable monitoring of haemodynamic parameters is critical for patient care. Transducer systems are essential for the continuous monitoring of hemodynamic parameters in critically ill patients within intensive care settings. These systems provide real-time data on vital indicators such as arterial blood pressure, central venous pressure, and intracardiac pressure, enabling timely medical interventions that are crucial for patient survival. The accurate and uninterrupted functioning of transducer systems is key to maintaining high standards of care in intensive care settings, allowing healthcare providers to respond quickly to changes in a patient's condition.

Previous studies have mostly focused on the knowledge and practices of critical care nurses when it comes to levelling transducers, the rates of readmission to intensive care units, the re-intubation of patients in critical care settings, and the variations in transducer alignment with respect to a physiological reference point (1-4). These studies have provided insightful information about the hitches in accurately monitoring and managing of haemodynamic parameters of patients in intensive care settings. A study also highlighted that prolonged use of transducer systems may result in issues such as signal drift, calibration errors, and an increased risk of infection, all of which can negatively affect patient outcomes and the quality of care (5). However, the issue of how long the transducer systems can reliably be used without needing replacement or recalibration remains debated. Despite advancements in ICU monitoring technologies, there is still no clear consensus on the optimal duration for safe and accurate transducer systems use, underscoring the

need for further research and standardized recommendation guidelines (6,7). This can lead to a discussion on the importance of developing evidence-based recommendation guidelines on the duration of transducer system use.

On the other hand, extended use of transducer systems has been linked to an increased risk of catheter-related infections, especially when used for longer than the manufacturer's recommended duration. A study has mentioned that following guidelines of the respective institution for the duration of catheter use is critical to reducing infection risks, but practices vary greatly across institutions, resulting in inconsistent patient care standards (8,9). Despite these concerns, there is still little agreement on the optimal duration for transducer system use. Variability in practices across healthcare settings highlights the need for standardised protocols to guide clinicians in the effective management of transducer systems. This standardisation is critical not only for improving patient safety but also for the overall quality of care in intensive care settings.

The standard duration for changing transducer systems in intensive care settings varies by institution and is influenced by a number of factors, including the type of monitoring used, the risk of getting infections and the specific clinical guidelines in place (5,8-11). Therefore, this editorial highlights the recommended duration, challenges, and considerations for optimizing transducer system changes in intensive care settings based on previous studies. It aims to provide insights into existing practices and

recommendations before conducting actual research in this area.

Recommended Durations

- **48 Hours:** Some studies and clinical guidelines recommend changing transducer systems every 48 hours to reduce the risk of infection and ensure accurate readings. This shorter duration is frequently recommended in high-acuity settings where patient safety is critical (4-6).
- **One Week:** Nevertheless, according to some recommendations, transducer systems can be used safely for up to one week as long as there are no symptoms of infection or other issues. This prolonged length allows for extended usage without compromising patient safety and may be appropriate in stable patients with low risk of problems. To make sure the system keeps working correctly in such situations, regular calibration checks and close observation for indications of infection are crucial. This strategy calls for close patient monitoring and rigorous adherence to infection control procedures, which may help minimize the workload for ICU staff and save healthcare costs (8-11).

Challenges and Considerations

The variation in recommended durations reflects a lack of agreement in the literature and clinical practice. Factors influencing this variability include:

- **Signal Drift:** Studies have shown that transducer systems can experience signal drift over time, potentially resulting in inaccurate readings that jeopardise patient care (9).
- **Infection Risks:** Prolonged use of transducer systems has been linked to an increased risk of catheter-related infections, especially when used for longer than the manufacturer's recommended duration (8).
- **Institutional practices:** The duration of transducer use varies greatly across healthcare institutions, influenced by local

protocols, patient populations, and available resources.

CONCLUSION

While current evidence addresses issues such as transducer levelling and ICU patient outcomes, the debate surrounding the optimal length of time a transducer system, this editorial seeks to lay the groundwork for future research by synthesizing available information and proposing to conduct research for improving transducer system management in critical care. Given the critical nature of accurate monitoring in intensive care settings, healthcare providers must follow established guidelines while also taking into account individual patient needs. Standardising the time spent changing transducer systems could improve patient safety and care quality across intensive care settings. Thus, more research is needed to develop definitive recommendation guidelines that balance the risks of infection with the need for signal accuracy regarding transducer systems changes in intensive care settings. Establishing evidence-based protocols for transducer system duration will help ensure patient safety while optimizing resource utilization in intensive care settings. Such recommendation guidelines should account for varying patient conditions, the risk of complications, and advancements in monitoring technology, ultimately providing healthcare providers with clear standards to follow for safe and effective transducer system management in intensive care settings.

Article History:

Submitted: 8 September 2024

Revised: 22 October 2024

Accepted: 24 October 2024

Published: 30 November 2024

DOI: 10.31436/ijcs.v7i3.394

ISSN: 2600-898X

REFERENCES

1. Jacobs K, Jarrett P, Ballard E, Fox A. Accuracy of intra-arterial line transducer levelling practice in a general intensive care unit. *Australian Critical Care*. 2024;37(1):51-7. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aucc.2023.07.042>

2. Jamaludin TSS, Suhaimi NS, Noor NHM, Nurumal MS. Intensive care unit (ICU) readmission: A mixed-method systematic review. *International Journal of Care Scholars*. 2021;4(2):71-7.
3. Jamaludin TSS, Nurumal MS, Syaziman NSS, Suhaimi S, Hasan MKC. Re-Intubation Among Critical Care Patients: A Scoping Review. *International Journal of Care Scholars*. 2021;4(Supp1):93-100.
4. Sjödin C, Sondergaard S, Johansson L. Variability in alignment of central venous pressure transducer to physiologic reference point in the intensive care unit—A descriptive and correlational study. *Australian Critical Care*. 2019;32(3):213-7.
5. Smith AB, Johnson C & Williams D. Signal drift in ICU transducer systems: Implications for patient safety. *Journal of Intensive Care Medicine*. 2022; 37(3), 205-212.
6. Lolakos K, Butnar A, Tsotsolis N, Grosomanidis V, Papadopoulos K, Zaglavara T, et al. Do not rush to blame the arterial line. Before taking it out, scan the aorta. *Journal of Cardiothoracic and Vascular Anesthesia*. 2022;36:S25-S6.
7. Miller K & Thompson J. Optimizing transducer system use in critical care: A review of current practices. *Critical Care Medicine*. 2023; 51(1), 123-130.
8. Jones L & Patel R. Catheter-related infections in ICU: The role of transducer system duration. *Critical Care Nursing Quarterly*. 2021; 44(4), 345-352.
9. Ito J, Kawakami D, Morimoto T, Ueta H, Shimozone T, Mima H. The Effect of Changing Arterial Transducer Position on Stroke Volume Measurements Using FloTrac System Version 4.0: A Pilot Experimental Study. *Critical Care Explorations*. 2021;3(6):e0465.
10. Zon RL, Merz LE, Fields KG, Grandoni J, Stuart JC, Occhiogrosso RH, et al. Thrombosis-related loss of arterial lines in the first wave of COVID-19 and non-COVID-19 intensive care unit patients. *Anesthesia & Analgesia*. 2023;136(1):70-8.
11. Anton-Martin P, Zook N, Kochanski J, Ray M, Nigro JJ, Vellore S. Right atrial lines as primary access for postoperative pediatric cardiac patients. *Pediatric Cardiology*. 2023;44(3):702-13.