

Knowledge, Attitude and Practice of Healthcare Personnel on Microorganism Transmission via Mobile Phones

Nurul Anis Shaferuddin¹, Norsyuhada Alias^{1*}

¹Department of Biomedical Science, Kulliyah of Allied Health Sciences, International Islamic University Malaysia, 25200 Kuantan, Pahang, Malaysia

ABSTRACT

Background: Mobile phones, widely used across all ages and occupations, are high-touch surfaces with the potential to harbour pathogenic microorganisms. Their frequent use in hospital settings enhances medical communication but raises concerns about their role as reservoirs for microbial transmission. This study assessed the knowledge, attitude, and practice (KAP) of healthcare personnel at a hospital in Pahang, Malaysia, regarding microorganism transmission via mobile phones. **Methods:** A cross-sectional study involving 173 healthcare personnel was conducted using standardized questionnaires. KAP scores were evaluated through true/false questions, Likert scale statements, and practice assessments. Descriptive and inferential analyses were performed to identify factors influencing KAP. **Results:** Moderate knowledge (59%), good attitude (76.3%), and moderate practices (60.7%) were observed among respondents, with factors such as age and gender influencing scores. Recommendations include implementing UV-C disinfection devices and disinfectant wipes at phone hygiene stations. **Conclusion:** Enhancing healthcare personnel's awareness of microorganism transmission via mobile phones is critical to improving hand and mobile hygiene practices, reducing infection risks, and strengthening hospital infection control measures.

Keywords:

mobile phone; fomites; healthcare personnel

Article history:

Received: 18 November 2024

Accepted: 27 January 2025

INTRODUCTION

The COVID-19 pandemic heightened global concerns about virus transmission, prompting preventive measures from authorities like the WHO and CDC. A key recommendation is disinfecting high-touch surfaces, including mobile phones, which are widely recognized as potential carriers of infectious diseases. Mobile phones are essential devices used across all demographics and serve as vital communication tools in hospitals, facilitating interactions between healthcare workers and patients. Zakai et al. (2016) highlighted improved medical outcomes in asthma and diabetes patients due to mobile phone use.

However, mobile phones in hospital settings raise concerns as reservoirs for pathogenic bacteria. Wagoner et al. (2019) noted that mobile devices, often kept warm and close to the body, provide ideal conditions for bacterial growth. AlOmani et al. (2020) found mobile phones to harbour more microorganisms than toilet seats, shoelaces, or doorknobs, prompting increased research into bacterial contamination on healthcare workers' phones.

Brady et al. (2012) first linked mobile phones to hospital-acquired infections, identifying contaminants such as coagulase-negative *Staphylococcus* and *Bacillus* spp.

Similarly, Missri et al. (2019) found pathogenic bacteria on nearly 40% of mobile phones in a French ICU, emphasizing their role in microorganism transmission among healthcare staff.

This study offers critical insights into healthcare workers' knowledge, attitudes, and practices, identifying behavioural gaps to guide improvements in hygiene practices and reduce transmission risks in healthcare settings.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Design and Setting

The study received ethical approval from the institutional research and ethics committees, ensuring respondent confidentiality. A cross-sectional survey was conducted from March to May 2021 to assess the knowledge, attitude, and practices (KAP) of healthcare personnel regarding microorganism transmission via mobile phones at a hospital in Pahang, Malaysia.

Study Population and Sampling

The study included healthcare personnel such as doctors, nurses, lab technologists, pharmacists, and administrative

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: norsyuhada_alias@iium.edu.my

staff who used mobile phones during work hours and understood English or Malay. Practical students, interns, and non-phone users were excluded. Using the Raosoft Sample Size Calculator (5% margin of error, 95% confidence interval), a sample size of 301 was determined, accounting for a 10% dropout rate. Random sampling was employed, and an online questionnaire was distributed via WhatsApp.

Questionnaire Development

A structured questionnaire was designed and validated based on Kaliyaperumal's (2004) KAP guidelines, covering demographics, knowledge, attitude (Likert scale), practices, and open-ended questions on mobile hygiene practices.

Data Collection

Data were collected through self-administered questionnaires. Participation was voluntary, with informed consent obtained, and participants could withdraw at any time without losing benefits. Questionnaires were distributed online via Google Forms (shared through WhatsApp and email) and as hard copies to the hospital healthcare personnel. Data collection was conducted using both online and printed questionnaires to accommodate accessibility and ensure inclusivity of responses from healthcare personnel across different departments. Responses were analysed using SPSS, with data assessed for normality prior to statistical tests. The scoring system for Knowledge, Attitude, and Practice (KAP) regarding pathogen transmission via mobile phones is detailed in Tables 1 and 2.

Table 1: Scoring system for different categories of KAP

Percentage of total score (%)	Total score of knowledge	Total score of attitude	Total score of practice	Category
≥70	19 – 26	32 – 45	19 – 27	Good
51 – 69	14 – 18	23 – 31	14 – 18	Moderate
≤50	0 – 13	10 – 23	10 – 14	Poor

Note. This scoring system was adapted from the study of Basir et al. (2020) with slight modification.

RESULTS

Sociodemographic Characteristics of Respondents

A total of 173 healthcare personnel participated in the survey. Most respondents were female (69.9%) and aged between 26-33 years (53.8%). Nursing staff constituted the largest group (28.3%), while dieticians represented the

smallest group (0.6%). Most respondents had 2-5 years of service (43.4%), and over half attended to patients daily (57.2%). All participants owned at least one mobile phone and brought it to work every day.

Table 2: Scoring system for each item in knowledge, attitude and practice

Response	Score
Knowledge	
Correct Statement	
True	2
Not sure	1
False	0
False statement	
True	0
Not sure	1
False	2
Attitude	
Positive statement	
Strongly agree	5
Agree	4
Neither agree nor disagree	3
Disagree	2
Strongly disagree	1
Negative statement	
Strongly agree	1
Agree	2
Neither agree nor disagree	3
Disagree	4
Strongly disagree	5
Practice	
Positive statement	
Often	3
Seldom	2
Never	1
Negative statement	
Often	1
Seldom	2
Never	3

Knowledge, Attitude, and Practice (KAP) Regarding Microorganism Transmission via Mobile Phones

Table 3 displays frequency distribution of scores (good, moderate and poor) for knowledge, attitude and practice towards microorganism transmission via mobile phones. The table shows that more than half of the staff falls in the category of moderate score for knowledge and practice with 59% and 60.7% respectively. The majority of the staff achieved good attitude scores with 76.3% and only 16.2% achieved moderate scores for attitude.

Most respondents (76.9%) recognized mobile phones as potential transmitters of microorganisms, but many

underestimated the risks compared to other surfaces like toilet seats. While pathogen definitions and common fomites (e.g., doorknobs, mobile phones) were well understood, 17.3% were unsure about faucets as fomites (Table 4).

Attitudes towards hygiene were largely positive, with 76.3% of respondents scoring well. Most agreed microorganisms were present on mobile phones (66.5%) and supported regular cleaning (50.9%). However, 33.5% viewed indirect transmission as less severe than direct, and 36.4% were unsure about mobile phones causing healthcare-associated infections, highlighting awareness gaps (Table 5).

Mobile phone hygiene practices were moderate, with 60.7% scoring in the moderate range. Although 82.7% frequently used phones at work, only 31.8% cleaned them daily. Less than half practiced hand hygiene before (39.3%) and after (35.8%) phone use. Notably, 48.6% changed

gloves before attending to patients after using phones, and 46.2% never shared their phones with others. (Table 6).

Table 3: Categories of knowledge, attitude, and practice scores concerning microorganism transmission via mobile phone (n = 173)

Category	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Knowledge		
Good (≥70%)	61	35.3
Moderate (51% – 69%)	102	59.0
Poor (≤50%)	10	5.8
Attitude		
Good (≥70%)	132	76.3
Moderate (51% – 69%)	28	16.2
Poor (≤50%)	13	7.5
Practice		
Good (≥70%)	52	30.1
Moderate (51% – 69%)	105	60.7
Poor (≤50%)	16	9.2

Table 4: Responses on knowledge concerning microorganism transmission (n = 173)

No.	Statements	True N (%)	False N (%)	Not Sure N (%)
1.	Pathogen can be defined as an organism that can cause disease to its host	143 (82.7)	1 (0.6)	29 (16.8)
2.	Microorganism may be transmitted via mobile phone.	133 (76.9)	14 (8.1)	26 (15)
3.	Mobile phones have lower risk to harbour microorganism as compared to toilet surfaces (e.g. door handles, toilet seat, and toilet flush handle).	84 (48.6)	51 (29.5)	38 (22)
4.	Cross-contamination (transfer of bacteria or other microorganisms from one substance to another) highly occurs on surfaces of healthcare related building (e.g. hospital) than public settings (e.g. supermarket).	116 (67.1)	26 (15)	31 (17.9)
5.	Most species of bacteria can only survive for two weeks on dry inanimate surfaces.	60 (34.7)	18 (10.4)	95 (54.9)
6.	Most viruses from the respiratory tract, such as <i>coronavirus</i> , <i>influenza</i> , <i>SARS</i> , or <i>rhinovirus</i> , can survive on dry inanimate surfaces for one to seven days.	99 (57.2)	21 (12.1)	53 (30.6)
7.	Major phones manufacturer (e.g. Samsung, Apple and Google) has published proper disinfections guide for mobile phone	40 (23.1)	87 (50.3)	46 (26.6)
8.	Hand sanitizer has the same effectiveness on reducing the number of microorganisms on hand as compared to soap and water.	111 (64.2)	36 (20.8)	26 (15)
9.	Below listed item(s) can be potentially categorized as fomite (Fomite: inanimate object that serves as a mechanism of transfer for infectious agents between hosts.)			
	(a) Doorknob	160 (92.5)	0	13 (7.5)
	(b) Mobile Phone	155 (89.6)	2 (1.2)	16 (9.2)
	(c) Stethoscope	134 (77.5)	7 (4.0)	32 (18.5)
	(d) Lift Button	163 (94.2)	0	10 (5.8)
	(e) Faucet/water tap	138 (79.8)	30 (17.3)	5 (2.9)

Note. Correct answers are in bold.

Table 5: Responses on attitude statements concerning microorganism transmission (n = 173)

No	Statement	Strongly Disagree n (%)	Disagree n (%)	Neither Agree nor Disagree n (%)	Agree n (%)	Strongly Agree n (%)
1.	I am aware that microorganisms are present on mobile phones.	9 (5.2)	4 (2.3)	13 (7.5)	32(18.5)	115 (66.5)
2.	I believe that microorganisms on mobile phones surface cannot be transmitted to human.	67 (38.7)	47 (27.2)	35 (20.2)	13 (7.5)	11 (6.4)
3.	I believe that indirect transmission of pathogens by touching mobile phones is not as severe as direct transmission such as person-to-person contact.	24 (13.9)	28 (16.2)	38 (22)	58 (33.5)	25 (14.5)
4.	I believe that touching a mobile phone using gloves is an improper action.	20 (11.6)	22 (12.7)	31 (17.9)	42 (24.3)	58 (33.5)
5.	I should only clean my hands after touching public surfaces (e.g. shopping cart handle).	67 (38.7)	25 (14.5)	21 (12.1)	33 (19.1)	27 (15.6)
6.	I believe that I would clean my phone more often if there was a readily accessible disinfection tool at the workplace	8 (4.6)	8 (4.6)	18 (10.4)	39 (22.5)	100 (57.8)
7.	I believe that frequent hand cleaning before and/or after touching mobile phones will reduce the presence of microorganisms on mobile phones.	9 (5.2)	8 (4.6)	16 (9.2)	44 (25.4)	96 (55.5)
8.	I believe that mobile phones are a source of healthcare associated infection.	10 (5.8)	15 (8.7)	63 (36.4)	40 (23.1)	45 (26)
9.	I believe that mobile phone should be cleaned on a regular basis.	10 (5.8)	3 (1.7)	28 (16.2)	44 (25.4)	88 (50.9)

Note. Correct answers are in bold.

Table 6: Responses on practice concerning microorganism transmission (n = 173)

No	Statement	Never N (%)	Seldom N (%)	Often N(%)
1.	I use my mobile phone at work	1 (0.6)	29 (16.8)	143 (82.7)
2.	I use my phone at least once every hour	5 (2.9)	45 (26)	123 (71.1)
3.	I clean my mobile phone with disinfection wipes/alcohol swabs every day.	26 (15)	92 (53.2)	55 (31.8)
4.	If I'm using my mobile phone with gloves on, I change the gloves before attending to the patient.	51 (29.5)	38 (22)	84 (48.6)
5.	I bring my mobile phone to the restroom/toilet.	38 (22)	71 (41)	64 (37)
6.	I use my mobile phone while eating during break time.	18 (10.4)	55 (31.8)	100 (57.8)
7.	I share my mobile phone with workmates or family members	80 (46.2)	57 (32.9)	36 (20.8)
8.	I clean my hands using alcohol wipes/hand sanitizers/soap and water before touching the mobile phone	17 (9.8)	80 (50.9)	68 (39.3)
9.	I clean my hands using alcohol wipes/hand sanitizers/soap and water after touching the mobile phone	29 (16.8)	82 (47.4)	62 (35.8)

Note. Expected answers are in bold.

Association of Sociodemographic Factors with KAP on Microorganism Transmission

As can be seen in Table 7, one-way ANOVA was used to analyze knowledge differences on microorganism transmission based on sociodemographic factors. Age group was found to significantly affect knowledge (p=0.018), with the group above 33 years old (M=16.50) scoring significantly lower than the group under 26 years old (M=17.89) and 26-33 years old (M=18.06) group.

Table 7: Comparison of total mean knowledge scores on microorganism transmission between different age group using one-way ANOVA test (n = 173)

Age Group	n	Knowledge score (%)	SD	F-statistic (df)	p-value
Under 26 years old	56	17.89	2.229	4.128 (2, 170)	0.018*
26-33 years old	93	18.06	2.536		
Above 33 years old	24	16.50	2.226		

*p <0 .05 shows a significant difference.

Table 8 shows a significant difference in attitude scores based on age group ($\chi^2 = 8.842$, p=0.012). The 26-33 years old group had the highest attitude score (mean rank = 92.42), with significant differences between under 26

years old and above 33 years old groups ($p=0.008$) and 26-33 years old vs above 33 years old ($p=0.004$).

Table 8: Comparison of attitude scores on microorganism transmission between age groups using Kruskal-Wallis test ($n = 173$)

Age Group	<i>n</i>	Mean rank	df	χ^2	<i>p</i> -value
Under 26 years old	56	89.89	2	8.842	0.012*
26-33 years old	93	92.42			
Above 33 years old	24	59.23			

* $p < 0.05$ shows a significant difference.

Table 9: Comparison of practice scores concerning microorganism transmission between different genders and involvement in attending patient using Mann-Whitney U test ($n = 173$)

Gender	<i>n</i>	Mean rank	U	Z	<i>p</i> -value
Female	121	93.70	2335.5	-2.699	0.007*
Male	53	71.41			

* $p < 0.05$ shows a significant difference.

There were significant differences in practice scores between genders ($p=0.007$), with females having higher practice scores (mean rank = 93.70) (Table 9). There were also significant differences in practice scores between the age groups ($\chi^2 = 10.378$, $p=0.006$), with the under 26 years old group showing the highest practice score (mean rank = 100.97). Post-hoc analysis revealed significant differences between under 26 years old and above 33 years old groups ($p=0.005$).

Suggestions for Reducing Microorganism Transmission via Mobile Phones in Hospitals

The respondents provided various suggestions to minimize microorganism transmission through mobile phones in hospitals. The most common recommendation (42 respondents, 50.6%) was to place UV phone sanitizers at designated hygiene stations. Other proposals included disinfection wipes (23 respondents, 27.7%), alcohol swabs (8 respondents, 9.6%), and plastic phone covers (10 respondents, 12.0%).

Additionally, respondents emphasised the importance of regular phone disinfection (37 respondents, 37.4%) and proper hand hygiene (32 respondents, 32.3%) before and after phone use. Other suggestions included reducing phone usage during work hours (19 respondents, 19.2%), increasing awareness through campaigns and training (9 respondents, 9.1%), and avoiding sharing personal phones (2 respondents, 2.0%).

DISCUSSION

KAP Concerning Microorganism Transmission via Mobile Phones

The webpage “How are COVID-19 key indicators trending in Pahang?” (2025) from the KKMNOW platform by the Ministry of Health Malaysia reported a notable increase in COVID-19 cases in Pahang between March and May 2021. The number of cases rose sharply from 912 on 1 April 2021 to 4,399 on 1 May 2021, highlighting the timeliness and relevance of conducting this study during a period of heightened public health awareness.

Most respondents (59%) demonstrated moderate knowledge regarding microorganism transmission via mobile phones. This level of understanding reflects the diverse educational backgrounds of participants, which included administrative staff, engineers, radiologists, doctors, and science officers. The overall awareness observed during this period may have been influenced by the extensive public health messaging and infection prevention campaigns during the COVID-19 pandemic. As shown in Table 4, 67.1% of respondents agreed that cross-contamination is more likely to occur in healthcare environments, reflecting a sound understanding of the higher exposure risks in such settings. However, some respondents were uncertain about bacterial survival on dry surfaces, suggesting areas where additional education on microbial persistence could further strengthen infection control knowledge.

While a few misconceptions were observed, for example, regarding microbial contamination levels on mobile phones compared with other common surfaces, the findings generally point to a solid foundation of awareness. Targeted education focusing on effective disinfection methods, the role of hand sanitisers, and manufacturer-recommended mobile phone cleaning guidelines could further enhance this knowledge base and translate it into improved everyday practice.

The attitude component of the study showed particularly positive outcomes, with 76.3% of respondents demonstrating a good attitude toward preventing microorganism transmission. Most participants acknowledged that mobile phones could harbour microorganisms and agreed on the importance of regular disinfection. This constructive mindset is consistent with findings from Ulger et al. (2015) and Jalalmanesh et al. (2017), who emphasised the need for proactive hygiene practices in clinical environments. Many respondents also recognised the combined importance of hand hygiene and

surface cleaning, highlighting a strong commitment to infection prevention principles.

In terms of practice, 60.7% of participants demonstrated moderate adherence to recommended hygiene behaviours, with nearly one-third achieving good practice levels. Regular mobile phone cleaning and adherence to hand hygiene were among the most reported actions, aligning with Malhotra et al. (2020), who stressed the value of accessible workplace disinfection tools. These results suggest that while the foundation for good practices exists, continued institutional support such as the provision of disinfection facilities and reinforcement through workplace reminders could further enhance compliance.

The study also reaffirms the integral role of mobile phones in healthcare communication, with 82.7% of respondents reporting frequent use at work. Although the relationship between knowledge, attitude, and practice was not always fully aligned, this observation highlights opportunities for structured interventions, such as awareness campaigns or training sessions emphasizing the importance of regular device cleaning. The open and anonymous nature of the survey provided a realistic picture of workplace behaviours, offering valuable insights for developing future infection control strategies.

Overall, the findings underscore a positive baseline among healthcare personnel regarding microorganism transmission via mobile phones, while also identifying areas for continued professional development. Strengthening institutional initiatives, providing user-friendly disinfection tools, and promoting consistent hygiene messages can collectively foster safer and more sustainable infection control practices within healthcare settings.

Factors Associated with KAP Concerning Microorganism Transmission via Mobile Phones

Age significantly influenced knowledge scores, with the 26–33 age group scoring highest and those above 33 scoring lowest. This contrasts with Desta et al. (2018), who found higher knowledge among older healthcare personnel. Younger personnel's higher scores may be linked to their active social media use, as highlighted by Hj Ahmad, Ismail, & Nasir (n.d.), where 18–34-year-olds are the largest social media users in Malaysia. Social media's role in sharing COVID-19 awareness likely enhanced their knowledge. Other factors, such as gender, staff category, and years of service, showed no significant impact on knowledge scores.

Attitude scores mirrored knowledge trends, with the 26–33 age group scoring highest. Post hoc tests revealed a significant difference between those above 33 and younger groups. These findings suggest that younger healthcare personnel's exposure to social media has positively influenced their attitudes, aligning with Ul-Haq et al.'s (2012) definition of attitude as a predisposition shaped by knowledge.

Gender significantly influenced practice scores, with females scoring higher, which is consistent with findings from Jalalmanesh et al. (2017) and Mon et al. (2020), both of whom observed better phone hygiene practices among females. This study supports these findings, demonstrating a significant difference in practice scores between male and female respondents. Jalalmanesh et al. (2017) found that female medical students cleaned their phones more frequently than their male counterparts, resulting in lower levels of microbial contamination on their devices. Similarly, Mon et al. (2020) reported that females exhibited higher levels of mobile phone hygiene compared to males. These studies collectively highlight the gender-related differences in hygiene practices, particularly in healthcare settings, and underscore the importance of targeted interventions to improve phone hygiene among all healthcare personnel. Age also influenced practice scores, with significant differences between those above 33 and under 26, aligning with trends in knowledge and attitude.

Suggestions for Phone Hygiene Stations in Hospitals and Reducing Microorganism Transmission via Mobile Phones

Participants suggested various measures to improve phone hygiene in hospitals. The most recommended solution was the use of UV-C light technology, which has proven effectiveness in reducing bacterial contamination. Malhotra et al. (2020) demonstrated that a UV-C disinfection device reduced bacterial colonies by 99.9% after two cycles. This method is already widely used in hospitals to disinfect patient rooms, pharmacy clean-rooms, and operating rooms, proving effective against pathogens such as MRSA, *Clostridioides difficile*, and norovirus.

Another suggestion was the provision of disinfectant wipes or alcohol swabs at hygiene stations, as these are cost-effective, easy to implement, and effective. Studies, such as Brady et al. (2012), showed a 79% reduction in bacteria after using 70% isopropyl alcohol wipes. Similarly, Leong et al. (2020) found that hygiene stations equipped with disinfectant wipes and educational materials increased daily phone cleaning among users. Plastic covers for

phones were also proposed as a practical solution. Wu et al. (2020) found that temporary plastic wraps combined with alcohol wipes effectively prevent microbial colonization, with no additional contamination compared to uncovered phones.

To minimise microorganism transmission via mobile phones, respondents emphasised the importance of promoting frequent hand hygiene. Proper hand washing before and after phone use aligns with CDC (2020) guidelines, which highlight hand hygiene as one of the most effective measures against pathogen transmission, especially during the COVID-19 pandemic. Regular phone disinfection was another widely supported suggestion, with CDC guidelines recommending daily cleaning of high-touch surfaces like phones to reduce the risk of contamination and infection from virus-laden surfaces.

While some respondents suggested reducing phone use in healthcare settings, this approach is impractical due to the integral role of mobile phones in patient care (Ulger et al., 2015). Instead, implementing usage restrictions in high-risk areas, such as ICUs and operating theatres, was seen as a more feasible alternative. Increasing awareness among healthcare personnel was also proposed, with strategies such as regular announcements, posters, and signage emphasising phone hygiene and its role in pathogen transmission. Lastly, respondents highlighted the importance of avoiding phone sharing, as it significantly reduces the risk of cross-contamination.

CONCLUSION

This study revealed that healthcare personnel demonstrated moderate levels of knowledge and practice, but a good attitude toward microorganism transmission via mobile phones. Age was found to influence KAP levels, suggesting that targeted educational approaches could further enhance awareness and compliance among all staff groups. Recommendations from participants highlighted the importance of providing UV-C disinfection devices and disinfectant wipes at designated phone hygiene stations to promote consistent cleaning practices. Overall, these findings emphasise the value of continuous education, workplace support, and accessible hygiene facilities in strengthening infection prevention measures and minimising the risk of microorganism transmission in healthcare settings.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This research was funded by the Ministry of Higher Education, Malaysia through the Fundamental Research Grant Scheme (FRGS) for Research Acculturation of Early Career Researchers (Project ID: RACER 19-027-0027).

REFERENCES

- AlOmani, M. A., Anwer, R., Sandoqa, A. M., Alshareef, F. G., Almuzayrie, A., Alluhadan, O., Abdulrahman & K., Bin. (2020). Elucidation of practices of mobile phone hygiene and identification of the microorganisms: A perspective study from Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. *Journal of Pure and Applied Microbiology*, 14(3), 1761–1768. <https://doi.org/10.22207/JPAM.14.3.14>
- Basir, N., Rahman, N., & Haque, M. (2019). Knowledge, Attitude and Practice Regarding Pertussis among a Public University Students in Malaysia. *Pesquisa Brasileira Em Odontopediatria e Clínica Integrada*, 20, 5073. <https://doi.org/10.1590/pboci.2020.002>
- Brady, R. R. W., Chitnis, S., Stewart, R. W., Graham, C., Yalamarthi, S., & Morris, K. (2012). NHS connecting for health: Healthcare professionals, mobile technology, and infection control. *Telemedicine and E-Health*, 18(4), 289–291. <https://doi.org/10.1089/tmj.2011.0147>
- Desta, M., Ayenew, T., Sitotaw, N., Tegegne, N., Dires, M., & Getie, M. (2018). Knowledge, practice and associated factors of infection prevention among healthcare workers in Debre Markos referral hospital, Northwest Ethiopia. *BMC Health Services Research*, 18(1), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-018-3277-5>
- Hj Ahmad, J., Ismail, N., & Nasliza Arina Nasir, N. (n.d.). *Investigating Malaysian Youth's Social Media Usage, Competencies and Practice with regard to Crime Prevention: An Application of the Social Media Literacy Model*.
- Jalalmanesh, S., Darvishi, M., Rahimi, M., & Akhlaghdoust, M. (2017). Contamination of senior medical students' cell phones by nosocomial infections: A survey in a university-affiliated hospital in Tehran. *Shiraz E Medical Journal*, 18(4). <https://doi.org/10.5812/semj.43920>
- Kaliyaperumal, K. (2004). Guideline for conducting a knowledge, attitude and practice (KAP) study. *AECS Illumination*, 4, 7–9.
- Leong, X. Y. A., Chong, S. Y., Koh, S. E. A., Yeo, B. C., Tan, K. Y., & Ling, M. L. (2020). Healthcare workers' beliefs, attitudes and compliance with mobile phone hygiene in

- a main operating theatre complex. *Infection Prevention in Practice*, 2(1), 100031. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.infpip.2019.100031>
- Malhotra, S., Wlodarczyk, J., Kuo, C., Ngo, C., Glucoft, M., Sumulong, I., & Bender, J. M. (2020). Shining a light on the pathogenicity of health care providers' mobile phones: Use of a novel ultraviolet-C wave disinfection device. *American Journal of Infection Control*, 48(11), 1370–1374. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajic.2020.05.040>
- Ministry of Health Malaysia. (2025, January 19). *How are COVID-19 key indicators trending in Pahang?*. <https://data.moh.gov.my/dashboard/covid-19/phg>
- Missri, L., Smiljkovski, D., Prigent, G., Lesenne, A., Obadia, T., Joumaa, M., & Galbois, A. (2019). Bacterial colonization of healthcare workers' mobile phones in the ICU and effectiveness of sanitization. *Journal of Occupational and Environmental Hygiene*, 16(2), 97–100. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15459624.2018.1546051>
- Mon, A. A., Lugova, H., Mathivanan, T., Abdul Aziz, A. A., Nagendran, Y., Umayal, G., & Sridewi, N. (2020). *Defence Management , Social Science & Humanities: Mobile Phone Usage and Hygiene : Awareness and Adolescents and Young Adults In Malaysia*. 15–22.
- Ulger, F., Dilek, A., Esen, S., Sunbul, M., & Leblebicioglu, H. (2015). Are healthcare workers' mobile phones a potential source of nosocomial infections? Review of the literature. *Journal of Infection in Developing Countries*, 9(10), 1046–1053. <https://doi.org/10.3855/jidc.6104>
- Ul Haq, N., Hassali, M. A., Shafie, A. A., Saleem, F., Farooqui, M., & Aljadhey, H. (2012). A cross sectional assessment of knowledge, attitude and practice towards Hepatitis B among healthy population of Quetta, Pakistan. *BMC Public Health*, 12(1), 1. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-12-692>
- Wagoner, M., Snyder, S., McCarty, M., Reed, L., Flook, S., Holsinger, J., & Leaver, C. A. (2019). Routine Disinfection of Mobile Communication Devices in the Postanesthesia Care Unit. *Journal of Perianesthesia Nursing*, 34(6), 1176–1180. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jopan.2019.03.011>
- Wu, Y.-H., Chen, C.-J., Wu, H.-Y., Chen, I., Chang, Y.-H., Yang, P.-H., & Su, W.-H. (2020). Plastic wrap combined with alcohol wiping is an effective method of preventing bacterial colonization on mobile phones. *Medicine*, 99(44), e22910. <https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.00000000000022910>
- Zakai, S., Mashat, A., Abumohssin, A., Samarkandi, A., Almaghrabi, B., Barradah, H., & Jiman-Fatani, A. (2016). Bacterial contamination of cell phones of medical students at King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia. *Journal of Microscopy and Ultrastructure*, 4(3), 143. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmau.2015.12.004>