### Unveiling Knowledge, Attitude and Practice on Carbonated Drinks Intake Among Male and Female Young Adults in Pekan, Pahang

### Nurfarzana Mohamad Zailani<sup>1</sup>, Aliza Haslinda Hamirudin<sup>1,2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Nutrition Sciences, Kulliyyah of Allied Health Sciences, International Islamic University Malaysia, Pahang, Malaysia

#### **ABSTRACT**

Background: Consumption of carbonated soft drinks has increased over the years, contributing to health issues such as obesity and non-communicable diseases (NCDs) particularly diabetes. Various factors influencing young adults to consume carbonated drinks, but research remains limited. This study aimed at assessing knowledge, attitude and practice (KAP) on carbonated drinks consumption among young adults in Pekan, Pahang specifically comparison between males and females. Methods: A cross-sectional study was conducted among a total of 94 respondents (n=47 males, n=47 females) aged 18 to 35 years old. The KAP questionnaires were distributed across residential areas in Pekan, Pahang. Statistically significance was set at p<0.05. Results: No significant difference was detected in knowledge score between males and females (p=0.831) indicating there was no difference among males and females related to knowledge and understanding level on carbonated drinks. Meanwhile, significant differences were identified for both attitude (p=0.049) and practice (p=0.032) scores in percentage between males and females. Males exhibited greater attitude on consumption and higher practice on carbonated drinks intake compared to females. Conclusion: Findings indicate similar level of knowledge between genders. Males had negative attitude and greater practice on carbonated drinks intake than females. Thus, it is imperative for targeted strategies according to genders in nutrition education and health promotion strategies. Enhancing awareness on adverse health effects related to carbonated drinks intake could improve knowledge and further prevent rising rate of NCDs.

**Keywords:** carbonated drinks; knowledge; attitude; practice; young adults

### **INTRODUCTION**

(MANS) conducted in October 2002 and December 2003 on dietary consumption patterns showed that the majority preferred choices of drink. There are many types of sugar of the population drank plain water at least six times daily; with plain water identified as the most frequently in the market. In particular, young adults' population have consumed beverage (Norimah et al., 2008). Similarly, the National Health and Morbidity Survey (NHMS) in 2012 also stated that most of the estimated population (59.2%) consumes five or more times plain water daily. Although soft drinks were not reported as the most frequent intake also encourages people to drink more carbonated beverage daily, this does not imply that the population limits their consumption. Over the years, the beverage intake patterns have changed drastically compared to those reported more than a decade ago. The recently conducted NHMS 2023 revealed that 1 in 5 adults did not sweet taste, with influence from family and friends also drink adequate plain water daily (Institute for Public contributing to this problem (Dinkhoff, 2009). Young Health, 2024).

\* Corresponding author. E-mail address: aliza@iium.edu.my

Globally, there is a shift from the consumption of water to sugar-containing beverages such as soft drinks and fruit Findings from the Malaysian Adult Nutrition Survey juices (Muckelbauer et al., 2013, Popkin, 2011). This clearly demonstrates that carbonated drink is one of the sweetened beverages such as carbonated drinks available the tendency to consume this type of beverages. This phenomenon is a concern that needs to be addressed accordingly to prevent non-communicable disease occurrence. Lack of nutritional knowledge on beverages drinks (Miller et al., 2020). High sugar content and calories in carbonated drinks contribute to high energy intake which could lead to weight gain (Vartanian et al., 2007). High carbonated drinks intake is mostly driven by the adults commonly observe the type of beverages their family and friends usually drink, and they will also mirror the intake to be socially accepted. The affordability of these beverages is also another factor contributing to high intake of carbonated drinks among young adults. This trend can lead to increased risk of non-communicable disease such as diabetes. Ministry of Health (2020) reported that Pahang has the third-highest diabetes prevalence in Malaysia, at 25.7%. Given the limited

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Food Security and Public Health Nutrition Research Group (FOSTER), Kulliyyah of Allied Health Sciences, International Islamic University Malaysia, Pahang, Malaysia

research on consumption of carbonated drinks in Pekan, Pahang, this study is therefore warranted in this region. To raise awareness in the community, it is important to identify on what people know about certain things, how they feel and how they behave. Based on Ajzen's Theory of were included. Planned Behaviour, it stated that an individual will expect to conduct a behaviour when they see it positively, believe what other people want them to do and perceive it to be under their own control (Ajzen, 1989). Thus, this study aimed to assess knowledge, attitude and practice on In this study, two groups were needed to compare carbonated drinks intake among young adults, both males between males and females. Total samples needed were and females in Pekan, Pahang.

### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

### Study Design and Sampling Strategy

A cross-sectional study was conducted whereby the Before implementing the research, a pilot study was relationship between outcome and other variables of interest was examined. Convenience sampling was applied in this study and the respondents were recruited from 10 respondents consists of 5 males and 5 females various residential areas in Pekan, Pahang.

### **Source of Population**

line with the age range of young adults in a Malaysian study by Zulfakar et al., (2023), both males and females. Inclusion criteria for the population was young adults and residents of Pekan, Pahang. Exclusion criteria were researcher to ensure all the respondents responded to the children, adults aged ≥36 years old, people who lived in study questions. Ethics approval obtained from IIUM other regions, people who had been diagnosed with Research Ethical Committee (IREC) (ID No: IREC 2019-070). diabetes and diseases which require fluid restriction.

### **Sample Size Calculation**

Two means formula was used for sample size calculation:

$$n = \frac{2 \sigma^2}{\Delta^2} \left( Z_{\alpha/2} + Z_{\beta} \right)^2$$

Where,  $Z_{\alpha/2}$  = 1.96 (for 95 % confidence interval)  $Z_{\beta} = 0.84 \text{ (for 80 \% power)}$ 

 $\Delta$  = detectable difference

 $\sigma$  = standard deviation in population (based on literature)

 $\sigma$  = ¼ (max score - min score)  $= \frac{1}{4} [(12.7 + 3.3) - (12.7 - 3.3)]$  (Pacific & Hoefkins, 2014)  $= \frac{1}{4} (16 - 9.4)$ = 1.65  $n = \frac{2 (1.65)^2}{1^2} (1.96 + 0.84)^2$  $= \frac{5.445}{1} (7.84)$ 

= 5.445 (7.84)

= 42.69

≈ 43 samples for one group

10% attrition rate for dropout and refusal to participate

= 43 + 10%

= 43 + 4.3

= 47.3

≈ 47 samples for one group

94 subjects (n=47 males, n=47 females).

#### **Data Collection**

A structured questionnaire was used to collect data which was adopted from a previous study (Kharde et al., 2013). performed among 10% of required sample size to test the face validity of questions in the questionnaires. A total of answered the questionnaires for the pilot study. Most of them responded that they understand all questions.

For data collection, potential respondents were Samples consist of young adults aged 18 to 35 years old in approached and explanations about the study were provided. An informed consent was completed by each respondent prior to their participation. The respondents filled in the questionnaires under the supervision of the The details in the questionnaires were divided into 4 parts consist of sociodemographic data, knowledge on carbonated drinks intake, attitude on carbonated drinks intake and practice on carbonated drinks intake.

### Questions on knowledge regarding carbonated drinks

The questions were in objective format where the subjects needed to select the answer according to their level of understanding. Knowledge was measured with 10 statements focusing on caloric value of carbonated drinks, sugar contents in carbonated drinks, components of carbonated drinks (sweetener, flavouring, colouring, preservatives and additives) and health effects of carbonated drinks (obesity, tooth erosion and bone fractures). The subjects need to choose answers based on the questions either true or false which gave them 10 score points as total score. One mark was given for the correct answer and 0 for wrong answer. The score point was then converted into percentage.

### Questions on attitude regarding carbonated drinks

respondents need to tick one of the answers given which respondents (50%). Most of the respondents were is either 'Yes' or 'No'. A numerical score was assigned to unmarried (74.5%) compared to respondents that already each choice. One score was given if the respondents married (25.5%). For the educational level, 31 respondents choose 'Yes' which indicated that they had negative (33%) only studied until PMR or SPM level, 56 respondents attitude toward consumption of carbonated drinks. For (59.6%) were Diploma or Degree holders and 7 question 3, one score was given if the respondents choose respondents (7.4%) stated other education level. Among 'No' indicating a negative attitude in consumption of 94 respondents, there were 28 (29.8%) full-time carbonated drinks. Then, total score point was calculated employees, 5 (5.3%) part-time employees, 9 (9.6%) selfand converted into percentage (%). The highest score employed, 13 (13.8%) unemployed and 39 (41.5%) obtained showed that respondents had negative or higher students. Next, 40 respondents (42.6%) have no income attitude toward consumption of carbonated drinks, per month. The number of respondents with income less Meanwhile, lowest score point showed that they had than RM 1000 per month and range between RM 1000 to positive attitude which means that they were not enjoying RM 3000 were same which is 24 respondents (25.5%). The and not addicted to consume carbonated drinks.

### Questions on practice regarding carbonated drinks

The questions consist of 4 questions. "A 4-point scale was have any problems with their health status. There were used to assess the frequency of carbonated drink only 4 respondents (4.3%) stated that they had other consumption and the average quantity (in glasses) diseases such as cholesterol problem, sinusitis, vertigo and consumed at a time. Meanwhile, one mark was given when G6PD. the respondents choose 'No' regarding practice on reading the ingredients list of carbonated drinks. Similarly, one Table 1: Sociodemographic characteristics of young adults score point was given for each response regarding factors influencing to consume carbonated drinks. Then, total score point was calculated and converted into percentage (%). The highest score obtained showed that respondents – had higher practice toward consumption of carbonated drinks meanwhile lowest score point showed that they had lower practice which means that they were not consuming carbonated drinks frequently.

### Statistical Analysis

Data was analysed using SPSS version 12.0.1. Descriptive statistics was used to analyse sociodemographic data. To compare knowledge, attitude and practice between males and females of young adults regarding carbonated drinks, independent-t test was used for normally distributed data. Meanwhile, Mann-Whitney U test was used for nonparametric test. *P-value* was set at *p <0.05* as statistically significant.

### **RESULTS**

### **Sociodemographic Characteristics**

A total of 94 respondents of young adults both males and females in Pekan, Pahang had participated in this study. distribution of respondents sociodemographic characteristics is presented in Table 1.

The questions consist of 5 questions in which the There were 47 male respondents (50%) and 47 female number of respondents for income per month from RM 3001 to RM 5000 and more than RM 5000 were 4 (4.3%) and 2 (2.1%), respectively. Lastly, most of the respondents (95.7%) stated that they are free from disease and did not

(n = 94)

Variables         Number of respondents (%)           GENDER           Male         47         50           Female         47         50           MARITAL         STATUS         Single         70         74.5           Married         24         25.5         EDUCATION           LEVEL         LEVEL         PMR/SPM         31         33         Diploma/Degree         56         59.6         Others         7         7.4         EMPLOYMENT         STATUS         Full Time         28         29.8         Part Time         5         3         Self-employment         9         9.6         Unemployment         13         13.8         Student         39         41.5         INCOME PER         MONTH         KM         COME PER         MONTH         CRM 1000         24         25.5         EM 1000-3000         24         25.5         EM 1000-3000         24         25.5         EM 25.5	(11 – 94)			
Name	Variables	Number of	Percentage (%)	
GENDER         Male       47       50         Female       47       50         MARITAL       50         MARITAL       50         Single       70       74.5         Married       24       25.5         EDUCATION       24       25.5         EDUCATION       13       33         Diploma/Degree       56       59.6         Others       7       7.4         EMPLOYMENT       7       7.4         EMPLOYMENT       5       5.3         Self-employment       9       9.6         Unemployment       13       13.8         Student       39       41.5         INCOME PER       MONTH <rm1000< td="">       24       25.5</rm1000<>		respondents		
Male       47       50         Female       47       50         MARITAL       50       50         MARITAL       50       50         MARITAL       50       50         Married       24       25.5         EDUCATION       24       25.5         EDUCATION       24       25.5         PMR/SPM       31       33         Diploma/Degree       56       59.6         Others       7       7.4         EMPLOYMENT       7       7.4         EMPLOYMENT       5       5.3         Self-employment       9       9.6         Unemployment       13       13.8         Student       39       41.5         INCOME PER       MONTH <rm1000< td="">       24       25.5</rm1000<>		(N)		
Female       47       50         MARITAL STATUS       70       74.5         Single       70       74.5         Married       24       25.5         EDUCATION LEVEL       24       25.5         PMR/SPM       31       33         Diploma/Degree       56       59.6         Others       7       7.4         EMPLOYMENT       7       7.4         EMPLOYMENT       5       5.3         Self-employment       9       9.6         Unemployment       13       13.8         Student       39       41.5         INCOME PER MONTH       41.5         KRM1000       24       25.5	GENDER			
MARITAL         STATUS         Single       70       74.5         Married       24       25.5         EDUCATION       24       25.5         EDUCATION       31       33         Diploma/Degree       56       59.6         Others       7       7.4         EMPLOYMENT       5       5.3         SATTUS       5       5.3         Self-employment       9       9.6         Unemployment       13       13.8         Student       39       41.5         INCOME PER       MONTH <rm1000< td="">       24       25.5</rm1000<>	Male	47	50	
STATUS         Single       70       74.5         Married       24       25.5         EDUCATION       24       25.5         EDUCATION       31       33         Diploma/Degree       56       59.6         Others       7       7.4         EMPLOYMENT       7       7.4         EMPLOYMENT       5       5.3         Self-employment       9       9.6         Unemployment       13       13.8         Student       39       41.5         INCOME PER       MONTH <rm1000< td="">       24       25.5</rm1000<>	Female	47	50	
Single 70 74.5 Married 24 25.5  EDUCATION LEVEL  PMR/SPM 31 33 Diploma/Degree 56 59.6 Others 7 7.4  EMPLOYMENT STATUS  Full Time 28 29.8 Part Time 5 5.3 Self-employment 9 9.6 Unemployment 9 9.6 Unemployment 13 13.8 Student 39 41.5 INCOME PER MONTH <rm1000 24="" 25.5<="" td=""><td>MARITAL</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></rm1000>	MARITAL			
Married       24       25.5         EDUCATION       24       25.5         LEVEL       31       33         PMR/SPM       31       33         Diploma/Degree       56       59.6         Others       7       7.4         EMPLOYMENT       7       7.4         EMPLOYMENT       5       5.3         Self-employment       9       9.6         Unemployment       13       13.8         Student       39       41.5         INCOME PER       MONTH <rm1000< td="">       24       25.5</rm1000<>	STATUS			
Married       24       25.5         EDUCATION       24       25.5         LEVEL       31       33         PMR/SPM       31       33         Diploma/Degree       56       59.6         Others       7       7.4         EMPLOYMENT       7       7.4         EMPLOYMENT       5       5.3         Self-employment       9       9.6         Unemployment       13       13.8         Student       39       41.5         INCOME PER       MONTH <rm1000< td="">       24       25.5</rm1000<>				
EDUCATION LEVEL  PMR/SPM 31 33 Diploma/Degree 56 59.6 Others 7 7.4 EMPLOYMENT STATUS  Full Time 28 29.8 Part Time 5 5.3 Self-employment 9 9.6 Unemployment 13 13.8 Student 39 41.5 INCOME PER MONTH <rm1000 24="" 25.5<="" td=""><td>•</td><td>-</td><td>74.5</td><td></td></rm1000>	•	-	74.5	
LEVEL         PMR/SPM       31       33         Diploma/Degree       56       59.6         Others       7       7.4         EMPLOYMENT         STATUS         Full Time       28       29.8         Part Time       5       5.3         Self-employment       9       9.6         Unemployment       13       13.8         Student       39       41.5         INCOME PER       MONTH <rm1000< td="">       24       25.5</rm1000<>	Married	24	25.5	
PMR/SPM 31 33 Diploma/Degree 56 59.6 Others 7 7.4 EMPLOYMENT STATUS  Full Time 28 29.8 Part Time 5 5.3 Self-employment 9 9.6 Unemployment 13 13.8 Student 39 41.5 INCOME PER MONTH <rm1000 24="" 25.5<="" td=""><td>EDUCATION</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></rm1000>	EDUCATION			
Diploma/Degree       56       59.6         Others       7       7.4         EMPLOYMENT       7.4         STATUS       28       29.8         Full Time       28       29.8         Part Time       5       5.3         Self-employment       9       9.6         Unemployment       13       13.8         Student       39       41.5         INCOME PER       MONTH <rm1000< td="">       24       25.5</rm1000<>	LEVEL			
Diploma/Degree       56       59.6         Others       7       7.4         EMPLOYMENT       7.4         STATUS       28       29.8         Full Time       28       29.8         Part Time       5       5.3         Self-employment       9       9.6         Unemployment       13       13.8         Student       39       41.5         INCOME PER       MONTH <rm1000< td="">       24       25.5</rm1000<>				
Others       7       7.4         EMPLOYMENT       7       7.4         STATUS       29.8       29.8         Part Time       5       5.3         Self-employment       9       9.6         Unemployment       13       13.8         Student       39       41.5         INCOME PER       MONTH <rm1000< td="">       24       25.5</rm1000<>		_		
EMPLOYMENT         STATUS         Full Time       28       29.8         Part Time       5       5.3         Self-employment       9       9.6         Unemployment       13       13.8         Student       39       41.5         INCOME PER       MONTH <rm1000< td="">       24       25.5</rm1000<>				
Full Time     28     29.8       Part Time     5     5.3       Self-employment     9     9.6       Unemployment     13     13.8       Student     39     41.5       INCOME PER     MONTH <rm1000< td="">     24     25.5</rm1000<>		7	7.4	
Full Time 28 29.8 Part Time 5 5.3 Self-employment 9 9.6 Unemployment 13 13.8 Student 39 41.5 INCOME PER MONTH <rm1000 24="" 25.5<="" td=""><td>EMPLOYMENT</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></rm1000>	EMPLOYMENT			
Part Time       5       5.3         Self-employment       9       9.6         Unemployment       13       13.8         Student       39       41.5         INCOME PER       MONTH <rm1000< td="">       24       25.5</rm1000<>	STATUS			
Part Time       5       5.3         Self-employment       9       9.6         Unemployment       13       13.8         Student       39       41.5         INCOME PER       MONTH <rm1000< td="">       24       25.5</rm1000<>				
Self-employment       9       9.6         Unemployment       13       13.8         Student       39       41.5         INCOME PER       MONTH <rm1000< td="">       24       25.5</rm1000<>	-	_		
Unemployment       13       13.8         Student       39       41.5         INCOME PER       MONTH <rm1000< td="">       24       25.5</rm1000<>		_		
Student       39       41.5         INCOME PER       41.5         MONTH       24       25.5		_	9.6	
INCOME PER MONTH < RM1000 24 25.5	• •	_		
<b>MONTH</b> <rm1000 24="" 25.5<="" td=""><td>Student</td><td>39</td><td>41.5</td><td></td></rm1000>	Student	39	41.5	
<rm1000 24="" 25.5<="" td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></rm1000>				
	MONTH			
RM 1000-3000 24 25.5		24		
	RM 1000-3000	24	25.5	

RM 3001 - 5000	4	4.3
>RM5000	2	2.1
None	39	42.6
HEALTH		
PROBLEM		
No	90	95.7
Others	4	4.3

## Knowledge on Carbonated Drinks Intake between Males and Females

Table 2 presents the knowledge of respondents on carbonated drinks. The lowest score obtained among 94 respondents was 30% meanwhile the highest score was 100%. There were 5 males and 6 females score 100% which showed that they got all correct answers and indicated that they know and aware about calorie content, sugar content, ingredients and long term health effects regarding carbonated drinks. Most of the respondents had an average knowledge as the majority of them score from 60% and above.

The mean percentage scores for males and females were 67.87±20.21 and 68.72±18.37, respectively. There was no significant difference in knowledge scores between males and females (p=0.831), indicating similar level of knowledge between genders.

## Attitude on Carbonated Drinks Intake between Males and Females

Table 3 shows results related to attitude on carbonated drinks intake. Sixty percent was the highest score and 0 percent was the lowest score obtained among 94 respondents for attitude on carbonated drinks intake. Zero percent indicated that the respondents had low or positive attitude toward consumption of carbonated drinks which means that they are not really enjoying or dislike to consume carbonated drinks. Meanwhile, 60% showed that the respondents had high and negative attitude toward carbonated drinks intake which means that they enjoyed

and preferred to consume carbonated beverages. The highest number of respondents that got the highest mark was males with 5 respondents compared to females with 1 respondent. Thus, the higher the score obtained by respondents, the more negative the attitude is.

Mann-Whitney U test was conducted due to data was not normally distributed. *p-value* obtained from the test was 0.049. There was a significant difference in attitude score in percentage between males and females. The mean of attitude score among males (52.59±20.41) was higher compared to females (42.41±15.5). The results demonstrated that males had higher or negative attitude toward consumption of carbonated drinks which indicated that they enjoyed and preferred to consume carbonated beverages compared to their female counterparts.

# Practice on Carbonated Drinks Intake between Males and Females

Table 4 demonstrates practice on carbonated drinks among young adults. 30 percent was the highest score point and 0 percent was the lowest score point obtained among 94 respondents. O percent indicated that the respondents had low practice toward consumption of carbonated drinks which means that they do not consume carbonated drinks more often, meanwhile, 30 percent showed that the respondents had higher practice toward carbonated drinks intake which means that they consume carbonated beverages more often. The highest number of respondents that got the highest mark was males with 3 respondents compared to none among females. Independent sample T-test was conducted and p-value obtained from the test was 0.032 which is less than 0.05, so, there was a significant difference in practice score in percentage between males and females. The mean practice score among males (13.54±6.56) was higher compared to females (10.864±5.31). In short, males had higher practice

toward consumption of carbonated drinks which indicated that they consume carbonated beverages more often.

 Table 2: Number of respondents (%) for each score on knowledge

Gender	Score Point (%)								Mean (SD)
	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100	
Male	4	5	1	9	9	11	3	5	67.87
	(8.5%)	(10.6%)	(2.1%)	(19.2%)	(19.2%)	(23.4%)	(6.4%)	(10.6%)	(20.21)
Female	1	4	5	12	7	10	2	6	68.72
1 0111410	(2.1%)	(8.5%	(10.6%	(25.5%)	(14.9%)	(21.3%)	(4.3%)	(12.8%)	(18.37)

Table 3: Number of respondents (%) for each score on attitude

Gender		Score point (%)					
	0	20	40	60	80	100	
Males	20 (42.6%)	14 (29.8%)	8 (17.0%)	5 (10.6%)	0	0	52.59 (20.41)
Females	28 (59.6%)	13 (27.7%)	5 (10.6%)	1 (2.1%)	0	0	42.41 (15.5)

Table 4: Number of respondents (%) for each score on practice

Gender	Score point (%)						Mean (SD)
	0-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	21-25	26-30	
Males	3 (6.4%)	12(25.5%)	17(36.1%)	6(12.8%)	6(12.8%)	3(6.4%)	13.54 (6.56)
Females	8 (17.0%)	12(25.5%)	18(38.3%)	7(14.9%)	2(4.3%)	0	10.864 (5.31)

### **DISCUSSION**

# Adults

No significant difference in knowledge score between negative attitude toward carbonated drinks intake; with males and females was identified in this study. Both consistent findings showed by Pacific and Hoefkins (2014). genders are considered to have similar level of knowledge. Redondo et al. (2014) highlighted that beverages This finding was coherent with result of previous study by specific Pacific and Hoefkins (2014). Nevertheless, other studies preferences of certain type of drinks. showed contradictory findings with greater knowledge among females (O'Leary et al., 2012; Azzeh & Hamouh, 2022); due to males often underestimate the sugar and calorie content. Females have tendency to recognize preference. Social acceptance plays a pivotal role of healthier options to carbonated drinks in comparison to carbonated drinks intake among males than females who males, due to males' preference towards satisfaction are more incline by healthy eating and trends with their and taste which dominate over their healthier options peers (Pollard et al., 2016; Duncan et al., 2022). understanding (Nergiz-Unal et al., 2016; Azzeh & Hamouh, 2022).

knowledge compared to men, the technology has changed become cautious in the intake compared to males who the method on how information can be accessed (Corby, favour enjoyment and taste over adverse effects to 2007). Regardless of males or females, equal access to health (O'Leary et al., 2012; Azzeh & Hamouh, 2022). It information via internet and other sources of information is worth to note that cultural attitudes between gender may influence their knowledge. It is important for young have a significant role in beverages intake. Some adults to acquire a credible and reliable information about culture encourages more intake of sugary drinks among the carbonated drink due to massive amount of online makes as it relates with masculinity, but females are information nowadays, which can lead to misinformation expected to adopt healthier lifestyle in the society and misinterpretation.

### Attitude on Carbonated Drinks Intake among Young **Adults**

Knowledge on Carbonated Drinks Intake among Young There was a significant difference in attitude score between males and females, with higher score among males compared to females. This indicates males had attributes also influence consumers'

> Young adults can become addicted to carbonated drinks if the taste of carbonated drink meets their

Weight management and body image are of concerns among females which reflects their attitudes in sugar Even though women tended to have higher levels of sweetened beverages (SSBs). Consequently, they (Nergiz-Unal et al., 2016; Khan et al., 2021).

### Practice on Carbonated Drinks Intake among Young effects of consuming carbonated drinks can be developed Adults

Our study found a significant difference in practice score between males and females, with higher score in males compared to females which demonstrates that males had ACKNOWLEDGEMENT higher practice in carbonated drinks consumption than female. The finding was parallel with result reported by We would like to thank the respondents for voluntarily Dinkhoff (2009), which further showed that the participating in this research. This research received no percentage of males consumed soft drinks was twice funding from any grant. compared to females.

Moreover, Zoellner et al. (2012) reported that men and younger people drink more regular soft drinks compared Ajzen, I. (1989). Attitude structure and behavior. In to females. Other studies also indicate that males are more likely to consume SSBs daily than female who are more prone to health concerns (O'Leary et al., 2012; Pollard et al., 2016). Moreover, females commonly opt for Azzeh, F. S., & Hamouh, E. N. (2022). Predictors of sugarcarbonated drinks intake occasionally rather than as part of their regular dietary intake (Pollard et al., 2016; Duncan et al., 2022). They are also more receptive to health campaigns aims at lowering sugary drink and promoting healthier beverages options intake than Corby. (2007). Technology and Quality in Educational their males counterpart (Azzeh & Hamouh, 2022).

### **Limitation and Strength**

Due to the answers provided by the respondents regarding knowledge of carbonated drinks were specific and need to choose only one answer, there were possibilities of Meanwhile, when asking to answer the questionnaires, some of female respondents mentioned that they had not taken any carbonated drinks for a while potentially opting for a perceived favourable response. Despite that, the use Institute for Public Health. (2012). National Health and of questionnaire facilitated study completion with the presence of researcher at the time of data collection is a notable strength of this study. Data collection is cost effective as only papers and pen were needed.

### **CONCLUSION**

This study indicated that both males and females of young adults showed no significance difference in knowledge regarding carbonated drinks. Notable gender differences were identified for attitudes, and practices regarding carbonated drink intake among young adults. Males demonstrated negative attitude toward consumption of carbonated drinks compared to females; in which males prioritize taste of carbonated drinks leading to higher consumption than females. Nutrition education strategies to address the differences are warranted to promote healthier choices in young adults according to gender. Additionally, further strategies to raise awareness on

which can help reduce the incidence of non-communicable disease especially diabetes.

#### REFERENCES

Pratkanis, A. R., Breckler, S. J., & Greenwald, A. G. (Eds.), Attitude Structure and Function (pp. 241–274). Lawrence Erlbaum.

sweetened carbonated beverage consumption and its effect on adiposity parameters of female Saudi e31983. students. Medicine, 101(1), https://doi.org/10.1097/md.0000000000031983

Communication. Retrieved Scholarly https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/ED496629.pdf

Dinkhoff, K. (2009). Predicting the Consumption of Young Adults concerning Sugared and Sugar-free Beverages (Master's thesis). Retrieved from https://essay.utwente.nl/59530/1/scriptie K Dinkhoff .pdf

respondents to answer the questionnaires by assumption. Duncan, M. J., et al. (2022). High consumption of discretionary beverages in young Australian adults aged 18-30 years: A cross-sectional study. Dietetics, 1(2), 11-20. https://doi.org/10.3390/dietetics1020011

> Morbidity Survey 2012: Volume II: Non-Communicable Diseases, Risk Factors & Other Health Problems. Ministry of Health Malaysia. Retrieved from https://iku.moh.gov.my/nhms-2012

> Institute for Public Health. (2024). National Health and Morbidity Survey (NHMS) 2023: Non-communicable Diseases and Healthcare Demand - Key Findings. Retrieved from

https://iku.nih.gov.my/images/nhms2023/keyfindings-nhms-2023.pdf

Khan, M. A., et al. (2021). Association of carbonated soft drink and fast food intake with stress-related sleep disturbance among adolescents: A global perspective from 64 countries. EClinicalMedicine, 31, 100681. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eclinm.2020.100681

Kharde, A., Deshpande, J., & Phalke, D. (2013). Knowledge, attitude and practices (KAP) regarding carbonated drinks among students of Medical College of Western Maharashtra. International Journal of Medical Science

- and Public Health, 2(4), 912-915.
- Miller, C., Ettridge, K., Wakefield, M., Pettigrew, S., Zulfakar, M. H., Islahudin, F., & Punniaseelan, N. (2023). Coveney, J., Roder, D., Durkin, S., Wittert, G., Martin, J., & Dono, J. (2020). An In-Depth Exploration of Knowledge and Beliefs Associated with Soda and Diet Consumption. Nutrients, 12(9), https://doi.org/10.3390/nu12092841
- Ministry of Health Malaysia. (2020). National Diabetes Registry Report 2013–2019. Retrieved https://www.moh.gov.my/moh/resources/Penerbitan /Rujukan/NCD/Diabetes/National Diabetes Registry Report 2013-2019 26082021.pdf
- Muckelbauer, R., Sarganas, G., Gruneis, A., & Muller-Nordhorn, J. (2013). Association between water consumption and body weight outcomes: A systematic review. The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 282-299. 98(2),

### https://doi.org/10.3945/ajcn.112.055061

- Nergiz-Unal, R., et al. (2016). Trends in fluid consumption and beverage choices among adults reveal preferences for ayran and black tea in central Turkey. Nutrition & Dietetics, 312-318. *73*(3), https://doi.org/10.1111/1747-0080.12316
- Norimah, A. K., Safiah, M., Jamal, K., Siti Haslinda, Zuhaida, H., Rohida, S., ... Azmi, M. Y. (2008). Food consumption patterns: Findings from the Malaysian Adult Nutrition Survey (MANS). Malaysian Journal of Nutrition, 14(1), 25-39.
- O'Leary, F., et al. (2012). Sugary drink consumption behaviours among young adults at university. *Nutrition* & Dietetics, 69(2), 119-125. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1747-0080.2012.01583.x
- Pacific, R., & Hoefkins, C. (2014). Consumption pattern, attitudes and nutrition knowledge on soft drinks among Belgian adults. Tanzania Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 13(1), 55-66.
- Pollard, C. M., et al. (2016). Obesity, socio-demographic and attitudinal factors associated with sugarsweetened beverage consumption: evidence. Australian and New Zealand Journal of Public Health, 40(1), 71-77. https://doi.org/10.1111/1753-6405.12482
- Popkin, B. M. (2011). Contemporary nutritional transition: Determinants of diet and its impact on body composition. Proceedings of the Nutrition Society, 70(1), 82-91.

### https://doi.org/10.1017/S0029665110003903

- Redondo, N., Gómez-Martínez, S., & Marcos, A. (2014). Sensory attributes of soft drinks and their influence on consumers' preferences. Food & Function, 5(8), 1686-1694.
- Vartanian, L. R., Schwartz, M. B., & Brownell, K. D. (2007). Effects of soft drink consumption on nutrition and health: a systematic review and meta-analysis.

American Journal of Public Health, 97(4), 667-675.

Contraceptive Awareness, Knowledge and Attitude Among Unmarried Young Adults in Malaysia. Malaysian *Journal of Pharmacy (MJP), 9*(1), 5-10.