SURGICALLY INDUCED ASTIGMATISM CALCULATOR: HOLLADAY VERSUS ALPINS METHODS

<u>Md Mustafa Md-Muziman-Syah</u>^{1*}, Ahmad Amiruddin Azman¹, Muhammad Faiz Hakim Zamzuri¹, Nur Nazihah Md Shakhih¹, Noorhazayti Ab. Halim²

¹Department of Optometry and Visual Science, Kulliyyah of Allied Health Sciences, International Islamic University Malaysia, 25200 Kuantan, Pahang, Malaysia.

²Department of Public Health, Kulliyyah of Dentistry, International Islamic University Malaysia, Kuantan, Pahang, Malaysia.

*Corresponding author's email: syah@iium.edu.my

ABSTRACT

Aims: Aim of this study was to compare the surgically induced astigmatism (SIA) values produced by Holladay and Alpins method SIA calculators.

Methodology: This retrospective study involved 80 eyes of 72 subjects who underwent uneventful phacoemulsification cataract surgery. The pre- and post-operative *K*-readings were obtained from the subjects' medical record for individual SIA determination using the SIA2.1 (Holladay method) and VectrAK calculators (Alpins method).

Results: There was no significant difference between the SIA2.1 and VectrAK calculators in determining individual SIA values (P= 0.70). The 95% limits of agreement between these two different method calculators were very tight (0.006 D only).

Conclusion: Both Holladay and Alpins method SIA calculators provide a comparable SIA value. Hence, Holladay and Alpins method SIA calculators can be used interchangeably.

Keywords: surgically induced astigmatism, Holladay method, Alpins method