|  |
| --- |
| **IIUM ENGINEEERING JOURNAL** **Guidelines for Authors to respond to Editor's and reviewers’ comments.** |
|  |
|
|  |  |
| **Manuscript title** | **RECEIVER OPERATING CHARACTERISTICS MEASURE FOR THE RECOGNITION OF STUTTERING DYSFLUENCIES USING LINE SPECTRAL FREQUENCIES** |
|  |  |
| **Manuscript #** | **578** |
| The authors express their gratitude to the editors and reviewers for their time and patience to review the manuscript. We hope the modifications listed below, will result in a manuscript suitable for publication in the IIUM Engineering Journal. We look forward to your response. |
|
|
|   |
| **Comments of reviewer # 1** | **Author's Response** |
|  In the method, the author did not explain in details how the LSF is being carried out and there is no parameterization of all the classifiers used in the experiment. |  The methodology of LSF has been explained in paragraphs 2&3 of section 2, while the parameters of the neural networks has been explained in paragraph 2 of section 4. |
|
|
|
| Detailed analysis and discussions on the results are not given, instead the authors only focus on presenting results already on the tables.  | The results have been discussed in section 5.5  |
|
|
|
| Main contribution of the paper is not clear, apart from reporting ROC of the LSF-based classification. | This has been discussed in paragraph 4 of section 1 |
|
|
|
| Lack of benchmark against previous works on the similar method or same dataset. | The results has been benchmarked in section 5.5 |
|
|
|
|
|
|
|   |
| **Comments of reviewer #2** | **Author's Response** |
|  To improve on language |  The manuscript has been read and the language has been improved. |
|
|
|
|
|
|
|
|
| We thank you again for your time and efforts to help us improve the manuscript. |