

e-ISSN: 2600-8394 VOL. 4. No. 1

The Phenomenon of Literal Association in the Qur'an

Yasir Sulaiman Abdurahman Almuways - Radwan Jamal Yousef Elatrash -

The Phenomenon of Literal Association in the Qur'an

Yasir Sulaiman Abdurahman Almuways¹

Radwan Jamal Yousef Elatrash²

January (1441-2020)

Abstract

This article highlights the phenomenon of the Literal Association (Zāhirat al-Mushtarak al-Lafzī) within the science

of Tafsīr and Our'ān in which the perspectives of some of the scholars of Tafsīr will be viewed, analysed, and

evaluated in order to get to the conclusion of whether or not such a linguistic phenomenon exists in the Our'ān by

providing some examples from the Qur'an and the comments of the scholars of Tafsīr on those examples. My theory

of research is based on the analytical research methodology and inductive research methodology, which will employ

both the quantitative and qualitative approaches by which we explore the definition of the literal association in the

 $Qur'\bar{a}n$, the types of literal association in the $Qur'\bar{a}n$, the opinions and the disputes of the scholars of $Tafs\bar{v}r$

(interpretation) about the existence of literal association in the Qur'ān, and some examples from the Qur'ān illustrating

the existence of literal association in the *Qur'ān*.

Key Words: Qur'ān, Literal Association, al-Mushtarak al-Lafzī, Tafsīr, 'Ilm al-Wujūh wa al-Nazā'ir

Introduction

The phenomenon of literal association in the *Qur'ān* is one of the research fields that scholars of Islam have focused

on, especially those who are interested in the science of the Our'an. Therefore, they have categorized the literal

association's phenomenon under two sections of research based on their interests and tendency. The first section is

¹ Yasir Sulaiman Abdurahman Almuways is a Lecturer at Al-Imam Muhammad ibn Saud Islamic University; a Researcher in the Department of Linguistics, Mary Immaculate College, University of Limerick; and a PhD student in the Department of Qur'ān and Sunnah, International Islamic University of Malaysia. Email:

ysalmuways@imamu.edu.sa ² Radwan Jamal Yousef Elatrash is an Associate Professor in the Department of Qur'ān and Sunnah, International

Islamic University of Malaysia. Email: radwan@iium.edu.my

the research field in which they have compiled only the wordings, or the words, by which the literal association occurs. This field of knowledge is called 'Ilm al-Wujūh wa al-Nazā'ir3. And in this field of research and interest, the scholars have only discovered and extracted the wordings of the literal association and their other meanings in the Qur'an. The second section is the research field in which they have gone further than simply extracting words and delved into their semantics to its relations and functions within the Qur'ānic āyāt (signs) themselves. They have also looked into the Our'anic rhetorics of those literal associations and the wisdom and the significance behind the usage of those literal associations⁴. Listed below are some of the works done by various scholars who have focused on and written books on literal association in the *Qur'ān*:

- Al-'ashbāh wa al-Nazā'ir fi al-'Alfāz al-Qur'ānyyah al-Laty tarādafat mabānyyhā wa tanawwa 'at m'anyyhā by Abu Mansūr 'Abdulmalik ibn Muhammad ibn 'Ismā'īl al-Tha alaby.
- Wujūh al-Qur'ān al-Karīm by Abu 'Abdirrahmān Ismā'īl al-Naysābūrī⁶.
- *Taḥṣīl Nazāir al-Qurān* by al-Ḥakīm al-Tirmiḍī⁷.
- Al-Wujūh wa al-Nazā'ir li 'Alfāz Kitābillāh al-'Azīz by al-Hussein Muhammad al-Dāmighānī⁸.

³ Muhammed Nūr al-Dīn al-Munajid. al-Ishtirāk al-Lafzī fī al-Qur'ān al-Karīm bayna al-Nazaryah wa al-Taṭabīq. (Dimashq: Dār al-Fikir, 1999), 75.

⁵ Abu Mansūr ʿAbdulmalik ibn Muḥammad ibn ʾIsmāʿīl al-Thaʿālaby. al-ʾashbāh wa al-Naẓāʾir fi al-ʾAlfāẓ al-Our'ānyyah al-Laty tarādafat mabānyyhā wa tanawwa 'at m 'anyyhā. Tahqīq Muhammad al-Masry. (Dimashq: Dār al-Fikir, 1984).

⁶ Abu 'Abdirrahmān Ismā'īl al-Naysābūrī. *Wujūh al-Qur'ān al-Karīm*. Tahqīq Fātimah Yūsuf al-Khiyamī. (Dimashq: Dār al-Sagā li al-Ţibā'ah Wa al-Nashr Wa al-Tawzī', 1996).

⁷ al-Hakīm al-Tirmidī. *Taḥṣīl Nazāir al-Qurān*. Taḥqīq Hussein Naṣr Zaidān. (Al-Qāhirah: Maṭba'at al-Sa'ādah,

⁸ al-Hussein Muhammad al-Dāmighānī. *Al-Wujūh Wa al-Naḍhāhir li 'Alifāḍh Kitābillah al-'Azīz*. (Bayrūt: Dār al-Kutub al-'Ilmiyyah, 1435 A.H.).

The Phenomenon of Literal Association in the Qur'ān

Yasir Sulaiman Abdurahman Almuways - Radwan Jamal Yousef Elatrash -

• *Iṣlāḥ al-Wujūh wa al-Naẓāir fī al-Qur'ān al-Karīm* by al-Hussein Muhammad al-Dāmighānī⁹.

• al-Wujūh wa al-Nazāir fī al-Qur'ān al-Karīm by Hārūn Mūsā¹⁰.

 Ma ittafaqa Lafzuhu wa ikhtalafa Ma'anāhu Min al-Qur'ān al-Majīd by Muhammad Yazīd al-Azdī¹¹.

• Al- 'Ashbāhu wa al-Nazāir fī al-Qur'ān al-Karīm by Muqātil Sulaiman al-Balkhī¹².

1.0 The Definition of the Literal Association in the Our'an

The definition of the literal association in the $Qur'\bar{a}n$ is always defined with the same definitions given and provided by the scholars of the Arabic language or Arabic linguistics in their work. And this may cause vagueness in understanding especially after we know that the Arabic language in general and the Arabic language of the $Qur'\bar{a}n$ have slight differences in terms of semantic principles and bases, thus we have to make the distinction that the $Qur'\bar{a}nic$ Arabic is different from the Arabic language itself in terms of the semantic output. Even though the $Qur'\bar{a}n$ is written in Arabic, it has its own principles and concepts of $Tafs\bar{i}r$ (interpretation) that are different from the principles found in the Arabic language in relation to Semantics. Thus, there are many $\bar{a}y\bar{a}t$ in the $Qur'\bar{a}n$ that have reasons of revelation; in other words, they have been revealed specifically on a particular group, event, or incident. Whereas in Arabic this is not found. Nonetheless, al-Zarkashī has stated that from the types of the $Qur'\bar{a}nic$ miracles is being able to find a single word that can be referred to twenty meanings, or even more, and this cannot be found in the

⁹ al-Hussein Muhammad al-Dāmighānī. *Iṣlāḥ al-Wujūh Wa al-Naṣāʾir fī al-Qurʾān al-Karīm*. Taḥqīq ʿAbd al-ʿAzīz Sayyid al-Ahl. (Bayrūt: Dār al-ʿIlm li al-Malāyīn, 1983).

¹⁰ Hārūn Mūsā. *al-Wujūh Wa al-Nazāir fī al-Qur'ān al-Karīm*. Tahqīq: Dr. Ḥātim Sālih al-Ḍāmin. (Baghdad: Dār al-Hurriyyah li al-Tibā'ah, 1989).

¹¹ Muhammad Yazīd al-Azdī. *Ma ittafaqa Lafzuhu Wa ikhtalafa Ma'anāhu Min al-Qur'ān al-Majīd*. Taḥqīq Ahmad Muhammad Sulayman. (Al-Kuwayt: Wizārat al-Awqāf Wa al-Shuūn Islāmiyyah, 1989).

¹² Muqātil Sulaiman al-Balkhī. *Al-ʾAshbāhu wa al-Naḍhāir fī al-Qurʾān al-Karīm*. (Al-Qāhirah: al-Haiah al-Miṣriyyah al-ʿĀmmat li al-Kuttāb, 1414 A.H.).

speech of mankind¹³. Thus, the definition of literal association in the *Qur'ān* is the 'āyah in which one wording, or more, bears and refers to more than one meaning¹⁴. Before I explain further, I would like to expand on the use of the word 'āyah and its translation to the word 'sign' in English. I mention the term 'sign' specifically and not the term 'verse' (which means 'a line of writing' in Latin)¹⁵ because the word "verse" is a copy paste from the bible, and we, as Muslims, cannot change the labels that are already given by Allāh and His messenger *ṣallallāhu 'alyh wa sallam*. By using the word 'verse', we fell into an assimilation process rather than a translation process and there is a huge difference between these two processes Islamically and linguistically.

Also, if we go back to the original meanings of these words in Latin, we will find that the word 'sign' is more reminiscent of what is meant to be used in the $Qur'\bar{a}n$ because the word sign in the $Qur'\bar{a}n$ means a miracle, a mark, a lesson, and an example which means that in each sign, or ' $\bar{a}yah$, there is a sign for something incredibly new and amazing. Furthermore, even in Latin, the word sign goes back to the word 'cut'; therefore, the word sign can be understood as a signal that cuts all your doubts. As a result, Allāh uses it in the $Qur'\bar{a}n$ to combine all these meanings due to the fact that the words ' $\bar{a}yah$ and ' $\bar{a}y\bar{a}t$ have been used in many signs in the $Qur'\bar{a}n$ with meanings that cover all these roots and meanings as it has been illustrated in the $Qur'\bar{a}nic$ and linguistic dictionaries in which it will become apparent how one wording can bear and refer to more than one meaning. And one of those meanings, or even more, can be the intended meanings of the literal association in the ' $\bar{a}yah^{17}$. For example, the wording $\bar{s}al\bar{a}h$ in $S\bar{u}rat$ al-' $Hz\bar{a}b$ in ' $\bar{a}yah$ number 56 in which Allāh says: "Indeed, Allah confers honour and blessings upon the Prophet, and His angels [ask Him to do so]. O you who have believed, ask [Allah to confer] blessing upon him and ask [

¹³ Badr al-Dīn 'Abdillah al-Zarkashī. *Al-Burhān fī 'Ulūm al-Qur'ān*. (Al-Qāhirah: Dār Iḥyāì al-Kutub al-

^{&#}x27;Arabiyyah, 1957), vol. 1, 102.

¹⁴ 'Abd al-Rahmān Jalāludīn al-Suyūṭī. *al-Iṭqān fī 'Ulūm al-Qur'ān*. Taḥqīq Markaz al-Dirāsāt al-Qur'āniyyah. (Riyadh: Wizārat al-Shuūn al-Islāmiyyah Wa al-Daawah Wa al-Irshād al-Sa'ūdiyyah, 1426 A.H.), 301; Badr al-Dīn 'Abdillah al-Zarkashī, vol 2, 208.

¹⁵ Merriam-Webster Dictionary

¹⁶ 'Abdulḥalīm Muhammad Qunbus. *Mu'jam al-Alfādh al-Mushtarakah fī al-Lughat al- 'Arabiyyah*. (Istanbul: Maktabat al-Nūr, n.d.), 22.

¹⁷ Abū Bishr 'Amr 'Uthmān. *Kitāb Sībawayh*. Taḥqīq wa Sharḥ 'Abdul-Salām Muhammad Hārūn. (Al-Qāhirah: Maktabat al-Khanjī, 1988), vol 1, 24; Muḥammad Ibn Idrīs Al-Shāfi 'ī. *Al-Risālah*. Taḥqīq Aḥmad Shākir. (Al-Qāhirah: Muṣṭafā al-Bāby al-Ḥalaby, 1940), 52; Badr al-Dīn 'Abdillah al-Zarkashī, vol 2, 207-208.

The Phenomenon of Literal Association in the Qur'an

Yasir Sulaiman Abdurahman Almuways - Radwan Jamal Yousef Elatrash -

Allah to grant him] peace". Allāh uses the wording salah twice in the same 'ayah which has two different referents

and meanings beside the linguistic meaning which means to perform the prayer. The first meaning is 'to confer honour

and blessings' and the second meaning is 'to supplicate or ask' as it has been narrated by Ibn 'Abbās 18.

2.0 The Types of Literal Association in the $Qur'\bar{a}n$

There are three types of literal association in the *Qur'ān* as follows:

1. The literal association of antonymic wordings or antonyms, which cannot be combined or

joined together semantically. This kind of literal association occurs quite often in the

Qur'ān; for example, the word Qurū' which means 'the state of purification' and means

'the state of menstruation'¹⁹. Also, we have the word *al-Shafaq* which carries many

meanings but two of these meanings are antonymic, one is 'whitish', and the second is

'reddish', and it can also mean fear as well²⁰. So, these meanings or semantics, mentioned

above are antonyms of one another, and their meanings cannot be combined semantically

in the same 'āyah whatsoever.

¹⁸ Muhammad ibn Jarīr al-Ṭabarī. *Jāmi'u al-Bayān 'an T'wīl Āyi al-Qur'ān*. (Al-Qāhirah: Dār Hajar li al-Ṭibā'at Wa al-Nashr, 2001), vol 19, 174. Hossam Moussa Mohamed Shousha. *The linguistic deception between the semantics of words and the Persuasive effect* (https://journals.iium.edu.my/al-risalah/index.php/al-risalah/article/view/23) Vol 1, 35.

¹⁹ 'Abdulhalīm Muhammad Qunbus, 92.

²⁰ Ibid., 67.

The Phenomenon of Literal Association in the Qur'ān

Yasir Sulaiman Abdurahman Almuways - Radwan Jamal Yousef Elatrash -

2. The literal association of distinct and different wordings which do not have any sense

relations such as antonymic or synonymic relations between each other in all senses as in

the different meanings of the word ' ayn^{21} .

3. The literal association of the linguistic constituent in which the literal association is resulted

and caused by the linguistic structure of the 'āyah in relation to syntax, morphology, and

even phonology rather than a specific or particular wording in the 'āyah. So, this kind of

literal association is resulted from the way the syntactic components and structures are

presented in the ' $\bar{a}yah$ '. An example of this is in the ' $\bar{a}yah$ in which Allāh says:

And if you divorce them before you have touched [had sexual intercourse with] them and you have already specified for them an obligation, then [give] half of what you specified - unless they forego the right or the one **in whose hand is the marriage contract** foregoes it. And to forego it is nearer to righteousness. And do not forget graciousness between you. Indeed Allah, of whatever you do, is Seeing.

[*al-Baqarah*:237].

"In whose hand is the marriage contract," means 'the husband' or 'the guardian', and

these two meanings got referred to not because of any specific word in the $\dot{a}yah$ that bears

two meanings but because of the structure of the sentence as it has been illustrated above²².

And these three types of literal association cover all the word classes such as nouns, verbs, and prepositions.

3.0 The Opinions and the Disputes of the Scholars of Tafsīr (Interpretation) about the Existence of Literal

Association in the Qur'ān

²¹ Ibid., 84.

²² Muhammad ibn Jarīr al-Ṭabarī, vol 4, 318-319. Narrated by Ibn ʿAbbās and Mujāhid.

The Phenomenon of Literal Association in the Qur'an

Yasir Sulaiman Abdurahman Almuways - Radwan Jamal Yousef Elatrash -

In regards to the existence of literal association in the $Qur'\bar{a}n$, we have to know that the dispute about it did not begin until after the time of al-'Imām al-Shāfi'ī. Otherwise the beliefs, and the schools, of the classical scholars tend to affirm the existence of such a linguistic phenomenon in the $Qur'\bar{a}n$ as they do with the phenomenon of synonymy²³. Yet, presently we have two schools of opinions. One school is the school of those who acknowledge the existence of the literal association in the $Qur'\bar{a}n$, and this is the opinion of the majority of the scholars of $Tafs\bar{u}r$ (Qur'ānic science) such as al-Shāfi'ī, al-Ṭabarī, and Ibn 'Āshūr. However, even though they agree on the existence of literal association in the $Qur'\bar{a}n$, they differ somehow in the principles by which they interpret or view the literal association in the $Qur'\bar{a}n$. For example, some distinguish between what is meant to be metaphoric speech from what is meant to be non-metaphoric speech. While others do not have that distinction in interpreting the $Qur'\bar{a}n$ unless there is a very clear linguistic hint which will make the semantic meaning or the intended meaning prominent over the literal or the metaphoric meaning. Therefore, al-Shāfi'ī interpreted the 'āvah in Sūrat al-Nisā'

O you who have believed, do not approach prayer while you are intoxicated until you know what you are saying or in a state of janābah, except those passing through [a place of prayer], until you have washed [your whole body]. And if you are ill or on a journey or one of you comes from the place of relieving himself or you have **contacted** women [by having sexual intercourse] and find no water, then seek clean earth and wipe over your faces and your hands [with it]. Indeed, Allah is ever Pardoning and Forgiving. [Sūrat al-Nisā':43]

in which the word $l\bar{a}mastum$ occurs which means 'you touched or contacted' with both its usages and meanings: the literal and semantic meanings²⁴. Whereas, others like Ibn Jarīr al-Ṭabarī stick with the semantic meaning rather than the literal meaning based on their other interpretational principles and views regarding the literal association as it has been narrated in his book al- $Tafs\bar{v}^{25}$. The second school is the school of those who deny the existence of the literal association like al-Ghazāly who states that the literal association could happen but not because of the nature of the

²³ Muhammad Ibn Idrīs al-Shāfi'ī, 51-53.

²⁴ Muhammad ibn Jarīr al-Tabarī, vol 7, 52. Narrated by Ibn 'Abbās

²⁵ Ibid.

semantics of the language but because of the willingness of the speaker. Also, al-Rāzī and al-Zamakhsharī believe that literal association could happen; however, the two meanings cannot be combined together whatsoever, so one meaning should be dominant over the other which makes the definition of literal association invalid in their opinion. As a result of the dispute mentioned above, I summarized some approaches taken regarding the interpretation of the literal association:

- 1. The semantics of literal association should cover all its meanings whether its metaphoric or non-metaphoric (real); literal or semantic; or, general or specific meanings.
- 2. The semantics of the literal association should not cover all its meanings whether its metaphoric or non-metaphoric (real); literal or semantic; or, general or specific meanings so, we should look for proof excluding or specifying one over the others.
- 3. The semantics of the literal association does not occur in the *Qur'ān* in the first place.
- 4. The semantics of the literal association must have only one meaning with one word and context at a time, and it cannot refer to two meanings at the same time.

Moreover, the disputes did not stop at the scholars of $Tafs\bar{\imath}r$ only; but, it went further than that to the scholars of Islam in general, such as the scholars of al- ${}^{\prime}U\bar{\imath}u\bar{\imath}l$. And each one of them began analyzing the literal association in the $Qur'\bar{\imath}an$ based on their methodological principles taken from their main concepts and beliefs rooted in the field of their knowledge. Therefore, we as students of knowledge should know and understand the schools, backgrounds, and orientations that the scholars of Islam come from, in order for us to have a better judgment and a fair conclusion about the conclusions they have reached in terms of their opinions in $Tafs\bar{\imath}r$ and Islam in general. Thus, we see the scholars of the Arabic language applying and extracting their conclusions regarding the literal association based on the linguistic principles as well as the scholars of logics, the scholars of Fiqh, the scholars of $Had\bar{\imath}th$, the scholars of al- ${}^{\prime}U\bar{\imath}ul$, and many others. And these differences in the fields of knowledge have caused many categories by which the

literal association has been viewed and analyzed²⁶. However, all those differences in how the literal association are viewed have not taken away the fact that the saḥābah (whom Allāh has been pleased with) have taken the Qur'ān with its meanings and interpretation from the Prophet şallallāhu 'alyh wa sallam, or have caused any contradiction in its meaning or interpretation²⁷.

4.0 Some Examples of the Literal Association in the Qur'an

To further illustrate the phenomenon of literal association in the *Qur'ān*, below are some examples of its occurrences in the *Qur'ān*:

- The word *al-dīn* which means "the religion" in English. This word appears in several 'ā vāt in the Qur'ān with different meanings other than 'the religion'. Some of the different meanings are: 'obedience' as in 'āyah number 9 in Sūrat al- 'Imrān'28; 'religion' as in 'āyah number 99 in Sūrat al-Tawbah²⁹; and 'supplication' as in 'āyah number 22 in Sūrat Yūnis30.
- The word *al-haq* which means "the truth" in English appears in several $\bar{a}y\bar{a}t$ in the Qur'ān with different meanings other than 'the truth'. Some of the different meanings

²⁶ Muhammed Nūr al-Dīn al-Munajid, 82-90.

²⁷ Ibid., 60-71.

²⁸ Ibid., vol 5, 280. Narrated by Ibn Jarīr.

²⁹ Ibid., vol 11, 422. Narrated by Ibn 'Abbās.

³⁰ Ibid., vol 12, 147. Narrated by Qatādah.

The Phenomenon of Literal Association in the Qur'ān Yasir Sulaiman Abdurahman Almuways - Radwan Jamal Yousef Elatrash -

are: 'Allāh' as in ' $\bar{a}yah$ number 71 in $S\bar{u}rat \, al$ - $Mu'min\bar{u}r^{31}$; 'justice' as in ' $\bar{a}yah$ number 2 in $S\bar{u}rat \, al$ - $Zumar^{32}$; and 'the prophet' as in ' $\bar{a}yah$ number 109 in $S\bar{u}rat \, al$ - $Baqarah^{33}$.

- The word *al-hudā* which means "guidance" in English appears in several 'āyāt in the *Qur'ān* with different meanings other than guidance. Some of the different meanings are: 'the prophet of Allāh' as in 'āyah number 115 in *Sūrat al-Nisā* ³⁴; and 'the correctness' as in 'āyah number 198 in *Sūrat al-'A'rāf*⁵.
- The word *al-'afū* which means "forgiveness" in English appears in several 'āyāt in the *Qur'ān* with different meanings other than 'forgiveness'. One of the different meanings is 'bounty' as in 'āyah number 199 in *Sūrat al-'A'rāf*³⁶.
- The word *maraḍ* which means "sickness" in English appears in several 'āyāt in the *Qur'ān* with different meanings other than sickness. One of the different meanings are: 'doubt' as in in 'āyah number 10 in *Sūrat al-Baqarah*³⁷

Conclusion

The literal association, *Al-Wujūh wa al-Nazāʾir, al-Mushtarak al-Lafzy*, or as some even call it *al-Mushtarak al-Lughawy*, which covers the phenomenon of the opposites, the synonymy, and the literal association, are all debatable

³¹ Ibid., vol 18, 88. Narrated by Ibn Jurayi.

³² Ibid., vol 20, 154. Narrated by Ibn Jarīr.

³³ Ibid., vol 2, 418. Narrated by Qatādah and Ismã 'īl al-Sudy.

³⁴ Ibid., vol 7, 483. Narrated by Ibn Jarīr.

³⁵ Ibid., vol 10, 637. Narrated by Ibn Jarīr.

³⁶ Ibid., vol 10, 639. Narrated by Mujāhid.

³⁷ Ibid., vol 1, 286. Narrated by 'Abdullāh ibn Mas'ūd, Ibn 'Abbās, Qatādah, and al-Rabī' ibn Anas.

The Phenomenon of Literal Association in the Qur'an

Yasir Sulaiman Abdurahman Almuways - Radwan Jamal Yousef Elatrash -

in terms of their actual names and terms, definitions, causes, existence, and the principles of how they are interpreted³⁸.

And all this goes back to the main fact that, the opinions of the scholars of $Tafs\bar{\imath}r$ have not been documented clearly

and explicitly by which we can know surely and certainly that this is what any particular mufassir tends to, or believes

in, in regards to the actual term, the definition, the causes, the limitations, the existence of the literal association, and

the principles of how they are interpreted by that particular *mufassir*.

References

'Abd al-Rahmān Jalāludīn al-Suyūṭī. al-Iṭqān fī 'Ulūm al-Qur'ān. Taḥqīq Markaz alal-Qur'āniyyah. Dirāsāt

Riyadh: Wizārat al-Shuun al-Islāmiyyah Wa al-Da'awah Wa al-Irshād al-Sa'ūdiyyah, 1426 A.H.

'Abdulhalīm Muhammad Qunbus. Mu'jam al-Alfādh al-Mushtarakah fī al-Lughat al-'Arabiyyah. Istanbul:

Maktabat al-Nūr, n.d.

Abu 'Abdirrahmān Ismā'īl al-Naysābūrī. Wujūh al-Our'ān al-Karīm. Tahqīq Fātimah Yūsuf al-Khiyamī.

Dimashq: Dār al-Saqā li al-Ṭibā'ah Wa al-Nashr Wa al-Tawzī', 1996.

Abū Bishr 'Amr 'Uthmān. Kitāb Sībawayh. Taḥqīq wa Sharḥ 'Abdul-Salām Muhammad Hārūn. Al-Qāhirah:

Maktabat al-Khanjī, 1988.

³⁸ Ali Ismā'īl al-Ash'arī. (1432 A.H). *al-Ibānah 'an Usūl al-Diyānah*. (Al-Riyāḍ: Madār al-Muslim li al-Nashr, 1432

A.H.), 101.

The Phenomenon of Literal Association in the Qur'ān

Yasir Sulaiman Abdurahman Almuways - Radwan Jamal Yousef Elatrash -

Abu Manşūr ʿAbdulmalik ibn Muḥammad ibn ʾIsmāʿīl al-Thaʿālaby. al-ʾashbāh wa al- Nazāʾir fi al-ʾAlfāz al-Qurʾānyyah al-Laty tarādafat mabānyyhā wa tanawwaʿat mʿanyyhā. Taḥqīq Muḥammad al-Maṣry. Dimashq: Dār al-Fikir, 1984.

al-Ḥakīm al-Tirmiḍī. *Taḥṣīl Naẓāir al-Qurān*. Tahqīq Hussein Naṣr Zaidān. Al-Qāhirah: Maṭbaʿat al-Saʿādah, 1430 A.H.

al-Hussein Muhammad al-Dāmighānī. *Al-Wujūh Wa al-Naḍhāhir li 'Alifāḍh Kitābillah al-* '*Azīz*. Bayrūt: Dār al-Kutub al-'Ilmiyyah, 1435 A.H.

al-Hussein Muhammad al-Dāmighānī. *Iṣlāḥ al-Wujūh Wa al-Naẓāʾir fī al-Qurʾān al-Karīm*. Taḥqīq ʿAbd al-ʿAzīz Sayyid al-Ahl. Bayrūt: Dār al-ʿIlm li al-Malāyīn, 1983.

Ali Ismā'īl al-Ash'arī. al-Ibānah 'an Usūl al-Diyānah. Al-Riyād: Madār al-Muslim li al- Nashr, 1432 A.H.

Badr al-Dīn 'Abdillah al-Zarkashī. *Al-Burhān fī 'Ulūm al-Qur'ān*. Al-Qāhirah: Dār Iḥyāl al-Kutub al-'Arabiyyah, 1957.

Hārūn Mūsā. *al-Wujūh Wa al-Nazāʾir fī al-Qur'ān al-Karīm*. Tahqīq: Dr. Ḥātim Sālih al- Dāmin. Baghdad: Dār al-Ḥurriyyah li al-Ṭibā'ah, 1989.

Hossam Moussa Mohamed Shousha. *The linguistic deception between the semantics of words and the Persuasive effect* (https://journals.iium.edu.my/al-risalah/index.php/al-risalah/article/view/23) Vol 1, 35.

Muḥammad Ibn Idrīs Al-Shāfi'ī. Al-Risālah. Taḥqīq Aḥmad Shākir. Al-Qāhirah: Muṣṭafā al-Bāby al-Ḥalaby, 1940.

Muhammad ibn Jarīr al-Ṭabarī. *Jāmi'u al-Bayān 'an T'wīl Āyi al-Qur'ān*. Al-Qāhirah: Dār Hajar li al-Ṭibā'at Wa al-Nashr, 2001.

The Phenomenon of Literal Association in the Qur'ān Yasir Sulaiman Abdurahman Almuways - Radwan Jamal Yousef Elatrash -

Muhammed Nūr al-Dīn al-Munajid. *al-Ishtirāk al-Lafzī fī al-Qurʾān al-Karīm bayna al Nazaryah wa al-Taṭabīq*. Dimashq: Dār al-Fikir, 1999.

Muhammad Yazīd al-Azdī. *Ma ittafaqa Lafzuhu Wa ikhtalafa Ma'anāhu Min al-Qur'ān al-Majīd*. Taḥqīq Ahmad Muhammad Sulayman. Al-Kuwayt: Wizārat al-Awqāf Wa al-Shuūn Islāmiyyah, 1989.

Muqātil Sulaiman al-Balkhī. *Al-ʾAshbāhu wa al-Naḍhāir fī al-Qurʾān al-Karīm*. Al- Qāhirah: al-Haiah al-Miṣriyyah al-ʿĀmmat li al-Kuttāb, 1414 A.H.